

Spearhead

£1.50


No. 438

ISSN 1364-7105

**IN
MEMORIAM
John
Tyndall**



JT - 1976



JT - 2005

**John Tyndall
1934-2005**

See inside

John Tyndall: A TITAN AMONGST MEN

A tribute by Richard Edmonds

JOHN TYNDALL, the publisher and editor of our magazine, died suddenly and very unexpectedly at his home in Hove, East Sussex on 19 July 2005.

I must say that on learning of the death of J.T. my mind reeled. I had lost more than just a friend. For decades John Tyndall had been the one man who had led the way in British Nationalism: a man one could absolutely rely upon: a rock to build a house upon; a beacon to guide a ship in a stormy sea. These are the first metaphors that come to mind. Truly with his removal from this mortal world, an abyss has opened up before us. The reader does not need to be informed, that these are very dangerous times for Britain, and now by the sudden death of John Tyndall, we are left bereft, and the movement without a leader.

John Tyndall dedicated his life to the salvation of Britain and the British peoples – those here in the motherland and those in the Dominions overseas. The fortunes and fate of our kin in Australia, New Zealand, Canada and southern Africa were equally his concern. J.T. was a fighter, and he spent his life fighting the corrupt Establishment that is destroying Britain. It is clear from the most cursory glance at the obituaries published in the press, that the powers-that-be hated John Tyndall. Well, J.T. returned that hatred, and added to it his well-justified contempt.

Our man was born in Exeter in 1934. His family then moved to London, and in due course he attended Beckenham and Penge grammar school. He served his National Service



J.T. speaks – Dr William Pierce on the right

in the Army in Germany. On rejoining 'Civvy Street' his reaction to contemporary Britain was: "The more I saw, the less I liked it.", as he describes in his book, *The Eleventh Hour – A call for British rebirth*. J.T. answered the call of politics, and becoming active in the nationalist right-wing, "took a step that was to have profound consequences for my future life", as he puts it in *The Eleventh Hour*. This was the late 1950s.

This was not the most auspicious time to promote nationalism. At that time, the mass of the people were enjoying the consumer-boom,

engineered by the Tory Government of Harold Macmillan ("You've never had it so good"), with full employment taken for granted, and with effective law and order in place (the word 'mugger' had not yet entered the common language), and with our British cities still populated by Britons (immigration from the Third World was just starting).

But the thinking person could see that Great Britain had been greatly weakened by the two World Wars. And the Suez debacle of 1956 had humiliated Britain before the eyes of the world. The country was clearly losing power and prestige. Things could not be allowed to continue in this way: so felt J.T. and his comrades in the burgeoning nationalist-patriot movement here in the U.K., as they attempted to diagnose Britain's ills and to find remedies.

At this time, the 'Right' in Britain was divided into a myriad of competing, coalescing and then again fracturing groups. All dedicated to the patriotic cause, and all divided. There were questions of strategy, tactics, aims and ambitions – personal as well as political, to be decided and resolved. John Tyndall was at the heart of all this. Out of this confusion, our man together with other like-minded and courageous patriots founded the National Front in 1968.

From small beginnings this party blossomed to become a household name, with, at the height of its success, tens of thousands of members from all classes and walks of life, and with hundreds of thousands happy to give their vote to the party in the polling booths. This success came to the Front in the 1970s under John Tyndall's leadership, as Chairman of the party.

Spearhead

No. 438 August 2005

PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4GG

Editor: John Tyndall • Acting Editor: Richard Edmonds

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and should not be taken to represent the views of the editor or of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. The editor reserves the right to refuse, without giving reasons, to publish any advertisement submitted. The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the editor knows anything about, is interested in or supports the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

The editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication. The preferred length is between 1,000 and 1,800 words. The preferred method for sending such a manuscript is by computer disk. If computer facilities are not available to the writer, the manuscript should be submitted typed with the use of a typewriter of decent quality, with a ribbon which leaves the text distinctive and without smudges. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writer specifically requests otherwise. The editor reserves the right to shorten or in any other way amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* items in other publications should be sure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and *Spearhead* and to include in the acknowledgement the magazine's full address.

It was during this exhilarating period (the present writer had the good fortune to be active in the Front in these times), that J.T. married. His wife, Valerie, was the daughter of Charles Parker, the Front's regional organiser for Sussex and the South Coast. Valerie stood as a Parliamentary candidate for the party in the General Election of 1979. Later her father was to become the National Organiser of the British National Party at its inception. In fact, the Tyndall-Parker family provided the energy and drive that laid the foundations of the BNP. But I am jumping ahead of the narrative.

Back in the 1970s, Enoch Powell's warnings, that the country, with its immigration policies, was piling up its own funeral-pyre ("We must be mad, literally mad" were his words), were still fresh in the mind. And the Front was going from strength to strength. Britain's corrupt Establishment became alarmed at the growing popular support for the Front; they decided to thwart the Front's rise with a counter-stroke.

This took the form of Margaret Thatcher, the leader of the Conservative Party, making her 'swamping' speech: "We Tories understand the fears of the British people of being swamped by alien cultures". The ruse worked. The swelling opposition to being "swamped by alien cultures" was diverted to the Tories, and the Front was left high and dry. The Tories, of course, did not mean a word of what they said. Thatcher's government initiated the 'open-door' immigration policy that has remained Establishment policy ever since. All that was a quarter of a century ago. It is a moot point, whether by the betrayal of their election promises at the 1979 General Election and by their subsequent performance in office, the Conservative Party (when did this party last *conserve* Britain?) has forfeited all their credibility with the British people; in which case, their 1979 success might yet turn out to be Pyrrhic victory for them.

The Front had invested huge hopes and expectations in the 1979 General Election, and had fielded a record 301 Parliamentary candidates. The demoralisation produced by Thatcher's trouncing of the party was devastating, and within a short time the once so-

**J.T.
campaigning
in the 2001
General
Election**



promising National Front broke up into rival factions. And organised Nationalism seemed doomed in Britain. John Tyndall was, without doubt, as tired and as disappointed as the rest of us. But this is when J.T. showed his character and true mettle: he rose to the challenge, and undaunted he set about revitalising British Nationalism. The basic message was that the Conservative party was a fraud and that the need for nationalism was even greater than before; in short, the movement had a future. In 1982 John Tyndall founded the British National Party.

The above is a sketch of J.T.'s role in active nationalism during almost half-a-century. But what sort of man was he? A list of his qualities would include: tenacious, resilient, forceful, focussed, energetic, highly intelligent, self-sacrificing, brave, bold, decisive, creative, generous, cool under pressure, and as tough as old boots. He was loved by his friends, and hated by his enemies: exactly as he would have wanted. He was, of course, much more than this listing of attributes suggests. John Tyndall had the measure of the failure of modern Britain.

To me, J.T. always had the character of the warrior, such as is depicted in the most ancient of our legends and national myths: for example, the Anglo-Saxon warrior at the time of Beowulf, or the Celtic chieftain at the time of Boudicca. And it was as a warrior that J.T.

lived and finally died: facing the enemy and always on his feet. There is an old German military saying: '*Viele Feinde, viele Ehre*' – 'Many Enemies (Foes), much Honour' – which applied exactly to John Tyndall.

His sudden and totally unexpected death came as a shattering blow, and is a terrible loss to our cause. And I am well aware that this tribute is very inadequate. I have hardly paid tribute to his qualities as a writer, who published *Spearhead* over a period of forty years. It was in *Spearhead* that his ideas were developed, and it was from our magazine that most of the impulses came, that have propelled British Nationalism forward in our time.

To guide us in the future, we have of course the set of back-numbers of *Spearhead*, and also his book, *The Eleventh Hour*, the writing of which some of his supporters regard as his most valuable achievement. Let me conclude by quoting a verse from an old, pre-Christian Norse poem:

*Cattle die, and kinsmen die,
And so one dies oneself;
One thing I know that never dies:
The fame of a dead man's deeds.*

Finally let us quote (slightly adapted) from the hymn of the First World War:

*"In the morning, and at the going down
of the sun, we will remember him."*



J.T. campaigning in the Midlands



BNP on the march – early days

Condolences on the death of John Tyndall

He was a man, take him for all in all, I shall not look upon his like again.

(Hamlet)

Tyndall, like John Bunyan, an Englishman whom he resembled in many respects, even to the point of accepting imprisonment willingly rather than resiling from his convictions has handed on the grand torch of life – not mere existence – to his successors. In the great relay race of human struggle he has steadfastly played his part; and the record of his endeavours remains as a memorial to inspire those who will follow him.

John Tyndall saw himself, first and foremost as a Briton, as a member by birth and by racial and ethnic inheritance, of the British people. He came to believe, as other men of distinction and integrity have done, that race is the key to history and the foundation of social, political and cultural achievement; and, despite the ferocious hostility which it drew upon him, he devoted his manifest abilities as worker, organiser, orator and writer to the cause of preserving the white race as an autonomous and unspoiled community for the future.

Nigel Jackson, Melbourne, Australia

Whenever BNP members leaflet, campaign and fight elections to regain our freedom, it is because of John Tyndall, the founder of BNP. That is his Monument. He will be with us at our final victory.

*Derek Beackon,
the BNP's first Councillor*

Deepest Sympathies, Condolences and Respect for a Gentleman who gave more than forty years of his life to nationalism and the politics of the Right. Farewell and rest in peace John Tyndall: never far from our thoughts, always in our memories.

John Cotter, Thurrock, Essex

During his life John remained unconquered, at his death he will remain unconquerable.

Michael Cope, Dartford, Kent

John Tyndall never flinched from doing the right thing; however unpopular and difficult it was to carry it through. John was one of the most brave, honest and honourable men I have ever had the pleasure to know. John's inspiration will live on.

Ian Dell, BNP member, Kent

JT, you will be sorely missed in these dark times. You were an inspiration in this life and death struggle for survival of the white race.

Paul Ballard, Croydon

I am shattered at the news of the death of John Tyndall. I had known him for over forty years and he was a close friend. There is a great deal I could say about John, but this is neither the time nor the place to say it. A J.T. Memorial meeting will be held in the near future where our tributes will be paid. Suffice it to say that during all the years I knew John, he never lied, he was never devious and never for one moment lost faith in his beliefs. Farewell, old friend, you were a fighter right to the end.

*John G. Wood, National Organiser,
National Alliance (U.K.)*

We all remember John Tyndall as a fighter, a man who never gave up his lifelong struggle to further the cause for a better Britain. He will go down in the annals of Nationalist history as one of Britain's greatest.

John, from Basel, Switzerland

John Tyndall's passing has left a void

that time will never heal, but we are much comforted by the fact that we are privileged to have marched beside the greatest Englishman of our time.

*Rick and Disa Soderstrom-Fawcus
and our Nordic Princess Sigrid*

It saddens my heart to learn of the death of a long time friend, John Tyndall. But, he was far more than a friend: he was a leader from whom I learned much. He stood as an example of both intellect and courage; he influenced my life greatly.

It is very distressing to know that the 2004 New Orleans Conference was our last meeting. He was always gracious and witty. He lived and breathed our Movement, and in death his spirit will remain with us, animating our hearts and our will.

David Duke, New Orleans, USA

I first met John in 1961. I always had the greatest respect for him.

*Tom Holmes,
Chairman, National Front*

The New Zealand National Front would like to express our sincerest sorrow at this time of great loss. John Tyndall's dedication, vision and success is a grand example.

*Sid Wilson, National Director,
New Zealand National Front*

I add my tribute to the passing of a great Briton. I first met John back in the 1970s. He has been the central pillar of British Nationalism since World War Two. Let us never forget his example, and now pledge ourselves to see the fulfilment of his vision.

Frank Kimbal-Johnson

I first joined the National Front in 1976, and from that day I looked up to John Tyndall. In 1984 I was made the organiser of the London Tower Hamlets branch (which later achieved the electoral breakthrough in 1993); I got to know J.T. very well: he held the movement together. I feel that the movement in this country has now lost its leader. Rest in Peace, John.

Barry Osbourne, East London

Very sorry to hear of the passing of John Tyndall. A great and visionary man.

Richard Barrett, USA

J.T. – in our hearts forever.

Warren Glass, Hampshire

J.T. was a man of stature and substance, with a touch of greatness. His legacy of forty years of *Spearhead* and the BNP itself, is a testament to the greatest British fighter for the White Cause. And no-one else could have written a work of such breadth and ideological depth as the *Eleventh Hour*.

Neil, Croydon

John was a lifetime nationalist who put himself at the forefront of the struggle. He was a fine speaker and tireless in the fight for Britain's future.

Dave Gardner

I would like to offer my condolences. John Tyndall was a great Nationalist, who will be missed by all true Britons.

David R. Peterson

I have just heard the sad news of John Tyndall's death. Although I never met him, I feel a sense of loss. There are few people like him: those prepared to stand up and be counted.

Christopher Nason

I am shocked and saddened to hear of the death of John Tyndall. I first came to know Mr Tyndall some twelve years ago; I was very impressed by him.

Cory Welch, USA

My condolences. John Tyndall's life was dedicated to the White race.

**J.E. Watson, Oklahoma, USA
(National Alliance, USA)**

As a strong supporter of John Tyndall, I was deeply saddened to hear of his untimely demise.

Martin Reynolds

John Tyndall: A wonderful orator, a brilliant mind, a true patriot who inspired us all. A gentlemen in every sense of the word. To those of us who hoped and prayed that we would see a Nationalist government in power, he would have been a statesman of enormous stature.

Tess & Bill Culnane, Lewisham

John was a most splendid individual, and I doubt that we will ever see his like again. It is my belief that there is a special place for men of courage when they pass. And make no mistake, John was a man of great courage and determination.

Sid Williamson, Saltdean, Sussex

We have our Union Jack flag flying at half-mast, in respect for a great man. The fight will continue, as John would have wished. 19th July 2005: taken from our lives, but not from our hearts.

John and Cathie, East Midlands

Words cannot express my deepest thoughts at this time: all I can say on the sad and sudden loss of John Tyndall, is that this England has lost its most staunch and brave nationalist gentleman. Rest in peace, John Tyndall, a true British Hero.

Anna Seymour

So very sad to hear. This is surely a tragedy for British Nationalism and our people everywhere.

Terry Rowling

John Tyndall had from an early age been part of the stream of resistance to the betrayal of Britain – as well as Europe, the West and the white race by the weakness, venality, cynicism and betrayal of so-called leaders. He was acutely aware of the injustices visited on white South Africa and Rhodesia. He was an irreplaceable figure and writer in the league of rightwing, nationalist and patriotic thinkers, and will be sorely missed.

**W James, Editor, Impact,
Jeffreys Bay, South Africa.**

I wish to convey my condolences regarding the death of John Tyndall. He was a true patriot and staunch defender of our rights and he will be greatly missed.

Stephen Goddson, South Africa.

The news of Mr Tyndall's passing was a shock. I was privileged to share the podium with him at the 2004 New Orleans Conference. His fire and brimstone speech was inspirational and well received.

David Pringle.

The first NF march that I attended was in Coventry in 1976, and there I first heard JT's tremendous oratory. JT was not only a great orator, he was also a great writer.

Ken Stead, Skipton, Yorkshire.

I was so devastated to hear of the passing of John. My father brought me into nationalism when he was in the NF in the Seventies. When John made speeches, the hair on the back of my neck used to stand up. John would have been a great leader for our country.

Gary Bergin

With acknowledgement to the Nationalist Alliance (of Suite 267, 57 Great George Street, Leeds LS31 3JA) from whose website some of these messages of condolence have been taken.



WHY I'M NOT JUMPING FOR JOY OVER THE LONDON OLYMPICS

PATRIOTISM is something that has always been two-dimensional – a truth which Dr. Johnson fully understood when he referred to it as the last refuge of a scoundrel.

On the one hand there is the genuine patriot, who is dedicated to the welfare of his race and homeland and will make every necessary sacrifice to ensure that these things are protected. On the other there is the self-serving careerist, whether in politics, commerce or whatever, who will cynically exploit patriotic sentiment to further his own interest. It is most urgent that we learn to distinguish between the two.

When the current British establishment makes generous use of the national flag – a flag it has allowed to be banned from display in many public places for craven fear of offending the ethnic minorities, a flag it has encouraged schoolchildren in Britain to despise as a relic of jingoism and imperialism of which they should be ashamed – we should be asking ourselves what on earth it is up to. This process of diligent examination should have begun some time ago when the Union Jack was dragged out of mothballs to aid the loudly advertised campaign to bring the 2012 Olympic Games to London. As everyone now knows, this campaign succeeded; and Britain's capital will provide the scene for that event in seven years' time.

Were this country the kind of country we would like it to be – a country to which we could invite the world's athletes and sports followers with confidence and pride, and from which they would return home with impressions of the highest standards of hospitality, efficiency and competence – the award of the Olympics to London would be an achievement to celebrate in the truest patriotic traditions. As it is, real patriots – as distinct from the *ersatz* ones currently crowing over the International Olympic Committee's choice – can only view this decision with alarm and foreboding.

London reality

The London Games lobby, headed by campaign leader Lord Coe and Mayor Ken Livingstone, with Prime Minister Blair edging himself into the picture wherever he could, proclaimed to the world what a great city London was. That might once have been true, but today the reality is that our nation's

Our capital, says JOHN TYNDALL, is no fit location for the Games in 2012

capital is a human, social, cultural, architectural and economic dung heap. It boasts (if that can be the word) miles and miles of filthy slums. It is torn apart by ethnic strife. It has one of the highest crime rates on the planet, with drive-by shoot-outs between Yardie gangs an increasingly common occurrence. Its administration is chaotic, in the hands of bumbling nincompoops whose only qualifications are their self-serving loyalties to the Labour and Liberal Democratic parties who rule most of its boroughs, and to GLA czar 'Red Ken' himself, whose politics are well to the left even of Labour. Its police are totally incapable of maintaining law and order, being imprisoned in a straightjacket of political correctness tightened several notches since the appointment of Commissioner Sir Ian Blair, who is no relation of Tony but might just as well be. London today is a writ-large and real incarnation of the 'Stretchford' of *The Daily Telegraph's* 'Peter Simple' column, a city caricatured as the laboratory of every nutty left-wing social experiment ever devised. And I say this as a

former Londoner myself, bred (if not quite born) in the suburbs of the Metropolis.

And most of the current ills present in London are duplicated throughout the United Kingdom as a whole.

A country that doesn't run

Right now, almost nothing in Britain runs properly. We have a government that cannot run a transport system, cannot run a health service, cannot run schools, cannot keep streets and parks clean and tidy, cannot tackle crime, cannot maintain the nation's social fabric or civilised standards among its population. It is a government that, along with its recent predecessors, has allowed the country's industrial infrastructure to fall apart. It has blundered into an aggressive war against a foreign country that never threatened us; and it now stands aghast at the consequences visited upon its capital only last month.

Are we seriously supposed to believe that such a government can change London so dramatically in just a few years as to make it a fit venue for an event of such enormous international importance as the Olympic Games – enormous in importance because, whether justifiably or not, the performance a nation puts on in hosting the Olympics has a crucial bearing on the regard with which it is held across the globe? And this regard affects us in a hundred different ways, from trade and tourism to simple international respect.

Of course, there may not be a Labour Government in 2012, and most probably not one headed by Tony Blair. But the present Government's tremendous efforts to bring the Games to London must surely be based on the confidence that there will be some continuity in national affairs and policy between now and then, in other words that the course of events in Britain will proceed largely along the same lines as it has done in the previous seven years since Labour came to power. If this should be the case, God help us!

Of course, all the weapons of high-powered modern advertising will be used in the



Ken Livingstone, seen here using his favourite mode of transport. He played a major role in the London Olympic bid.

near future to entice the world to visit for the Games, but all of it will be based on a children's storybook picture of what our capital is actually like. A much more truthful picture might be portrayed by the slogan "Come to London in 2012 and enjoy being mugged!"

The 'diversity' factor

'Red Ken' set the tone in his recent sales pitch for the London Games. We have, he cried with evident glee, more than three hundred languages spoken in this city of ours – as if that were something to be proud of and to celebrate! Just how is such 'diversity' going to make our capital a suitable location for an event that demands super-efficient organisation, in a setting of public peace and harmony? We saw something of this peace and harmony in Central London on 7th July. Of course, the explosions and deaths visited upon us on that day occurred *after* the decision to bring the Olympics to London had been made, but might not that tragedy have been anticipated well beforehand? Did the previous Metropolitan Police Commissioner not warn the government and nation more than a year previously that terrorist attacks in our cities were not a matter of 'if' but only of 'when'? And Britain differs from most of the other nations of the world in being a willing, not to say slavish, participant in President Bush's aggressive war against Iraq and the subsequent occupation – making us a more likely target for terrorism than any country in the world outside the USA itself. Did the members of the IOC not take this into account in their deliberations? If not, they surely need their heads examined!

But perhaps there were other considerations that swayed them – counter-considerations that had to be weighed in the balance against the likelihood of terrorist attacks. It has become notorious throughout the money-dominated sporting world of today that intensive lobbying, oiled by generous 'inducements', has played a major part in decisions to stage major events in this or that country. Not long ago, the IOC was forced to expel ten members who had taken hundreds of thousands of dollars in bribes from officials seeking to bring the 2002 Winter Games to Salt Lake City, USA. There were widespread allegations of corruption involved in the choice of Sydney for the Games in 2000, in which £42,000 had been used to grease the palms of the Olympic officials of two African countries. The recent successful London bid has not escaped scandal either. Only last April it was revealed that a £50 million package of financial 'incentives' had been offered to various competing countries which included the payment of air fares for 10,500 athletes, free railway travel in the UK once they were here – plus the cost of phone calls up to £60 each. In addition, each country's National Olympic Committee was offered £30,000 to site its pre-Games train-

ing camp in Britain. This 'sweetener' was withdrawn only after it had suffered public exposure. Just what others have escaped such exposure is anyone's guess.



HER FLAG OR OURS?

Olympic double-gold medal winner Kelly Holmes may be a fine athlete, but she's not a *British* athlete.

Paris seemed to be easy favourite to be awarded the games only weeks before the final decision was made, only to be pipped at the post by the London bid at the very last moment. French claims of bribery have been dismissed as 'sour grapes' but it is far from certain that they were without foundation.

Highlighting black performers

What we can now be quite sure of is that in the seven-year run-up to the Games the British public is going to be subjected to a sustained barrage of 'hype' unparalleled in our sporting history. And this will most certainly highlight far out of proportion the black athletes likely to take part, as well as those who have been successful in Olympics in the past. Much to the fore in the campaign to bring the Games to London, and again the celebrations following its success, was the dusky double-gold-medallist Kelly Holmes, shot to fame for her victories in Athens. Now no one would wish to begrudge the talented Miss Holmes credit for her achievements, but many will feel that these cannot justly be claimed as successes for Britain, since the medal-winner's father is West Indian. But of course that has not prevented Kelly from being promoted *ad nauseam* as a 'British' winner, with her photo appearing everywhere, more often than not with her being draped in the Union Jack. As one of the main promotional aids used in the recent London bid TV viewers were frequently treated to the supposedly symbolic sight of a hurdler jumping over Tower Bridge. He, of course, was black too. Just why a white athlete could

not have been used for this purpose perhaps Lord Coe will explain. We white Brits are, after all, still the majority in this country – even among Olympic medallists, as Sydney and Athens showed.

Notwithstanding this, unless a nationalist revolution takes place in Britain between now and the 2012 Games (a pleasant thought but rather over-optimistic) we can assume that a fair proportion of 'British' sportsmen and sportswomen competing in those Games will be drawn from the ethnic minorities, particularly Afro-Caribbeans. With a media-induced Olympic fever building up in the country as the Games approach, it is a cast-iron certainty that the spotlight will be focused on these competitors with increasing intensity – most probably in loving poses with white athletes of the opposite sex and, again, with national flags either providing additional clothing or fluttering prominently nearby. With all this we will be treated by newspaper hacks to articles saying that it is time to have a revival of 'patriotism' so that our multi-racial Olympic team will enjoy the fullest backing of the whole nation. Millions of TV- and tabloid-conditioned zombies will eagerly go along with all this but intelligent Britons will feel it time to reach for the sick bowl!

With just two exceptions in modern times – Los Angeles in 1984 and Seoul in 1988 – the Olympics have been financially crippling to the host countries. When Athens got the prize the last time round, the Greek Olympic Committee estimated the cost at a likely £1.2 billion. A revised estimate last November raised the figure to £8.5 billion – more than five per cent of the nation's gross domestic product! But this was not the worst case. That honour belongs to Canada, which staged the Games in Montreal in 1976. Almost 30 years on from that time, Canadians are still paying taxes to service the debts!

Claim of renovation

Supporters of the London Games claim that the financial costs will be largely offset by huge benefits in the way of renovation of East London, in which much of the events will be located. Now one of the depressed areas of Britain, the East End, so it is claimed, will be treated to brand new roads, parks and leisure and transport facilities, with 150,000 new jobs created in the building of the Olympic sites.

Here we are back again to the familiar New Labour (and general liberal-leftist) cure for all ills. Spend enough money and they will go away! When the whole or part of a city becomes a slum, rebuild it and it will cease to be a slum. Sometimes true, but very often not. This comfy prescription fails to take into account that it is often *people* who create slums rather than the other way round. The American experience is instructive.

Contd. overleaf

WHY I'M NOT JUMPING FOR JOY OVER THE LONDON OLYMPICS

(Contd. from prev. page)

Thirty-odd years ago, a housing area in a Midwestern city (it may have been St. Louis) became the focus of liberal outrage as a result of the appalling living conditions there, with filthy dwellings and a massive crime rate. Eventually public pressure for a remedy built up to such a point that the whole place was demolished and a brand new housing area constructed at huge expense. And what was the result? Within a short time the area had become a vile slum, just as before!

The hazards of 'race-relations' legislation in this country (under which this writer is currently facing charges) make it perhaps prudent not to go any more deeply into the causes of this costly fiasco. It will suffice to say that vast amounts of cash spent on trying to convert the sink areas of East

London into an urban paradise – in the hope that it will make everything look nice and run smoothly for the Olympics in seven years' time – will almost certainly end in bitter disappointment when the long-term results are weighed in the balance. However, by then, today's crop of political bosses will mostly no longer be there to take the blame. Meanwhile Tony and Ken can prance and strut the public stage, basking in the artificial glory of an Olympic saturnalia, while British taxpayers, and London taxpayers especially, foot the enormous bill.

Big waste

That is why I cannot join in the current euphoria that has greeted the International Olympic Committee's decision to bring the Olympics here. They will amount to a hugely wasteful extravaganza, staged to swell the egos of politicians who should long ago have been put out to grass, and to hammer the benefits of 'diversity' into the

heads of the British public until many of the latter feel like running, screaming, to some far-off desert island in search of recovered sanity.

A British-hosted Olympics at some time well in the future might be a good idea: a time when we live once again in a white country, under a genuinely patriotic government; a time when our cities are clean, beautiful, peaceful and law-abiding; a time when our public services work properly; a time when our industries are up and running again and the nation is solvent; a time when our indigenous population is restored to sound bodily and spiritual health, and when out of this indigenous – that is to say wholly British and white – population we might find sportsmen and -women who can do us proud in competition with the world.

But that time is not now. A national and political revolution must take place before it becomes possible. For the moment, New Labour's show Olympics should be given a firm thumbs down.

MADHOUSE BRITAIN

PRINCE CHARLES, aka the Duke of Cornwall, has been having to deal with unrest in his own manor. More than 100 local residents have formed an action group to thwart his plan to build a mosque near Newquay.

These locals point out that there is no need for such an establishment because there are hardly any Muslims in the area – official government figures confirming that these amount to no more than 33 out of a population of 22,000!

* * *

"ARE you: white British, Irish, other white, Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, other Asian, Caribbean, African, other black, Chinese, other ethnic, mixed white and black Caribbean, mixed white and black African, mixed white and Asian, other mixed?" Information requested on form seeking residents' opinions on a recently introduced parking scheme in Hove, Sussex.

* * *

TWO Special Branch detectives have been fired after they were caught making racist and sexist remarks while on duty at Gatwick Airport.

The pair, who were bugged over several weeks, had a key role in monitoring the arrival of suspected terrorists.

In one taped conversation they referred to a flight from Jamaica as the 'golly bus'. In another, Jewish people arriving from Tel Aviv were called 'shonks'.

They also carried out a spoof interview in which one of them asked: "Haven't I seen you on the top of a Robinson's jam jar?"

The case was reported as "a major embarrassment to Sussex Police, which is trying to crack down on racism in the force."

* * *

PENSIONER Margaret Keel, of Newton Abbot, Devon, has been threatened with prosecution for defacing government property – after sticking a Union Jack over the EU flag

on her and her husband's driving licences.

Mrs. Keel (71) said last month: "There's too much Europe in our lives – I'm English and belong to the United Kingdom, not the European Union."

The DVLA at Swansea has warned Mrs. Keel that she may have broken Section 173 of the Road Traffic Act 1988, and it would be a matter for the courts to decide.

* * *

MEANWHILE, Britain's Ambassador to the EU has spent thousands of pounds of taxpayers' money on 'bongo' lessons and yo-yo classes for his staff because they have become 'stressed' at having to prepare for the UK's presidency of the Union.

One of Sir John Grant's anti-stress sessions involved flying a three-man bongo-drumming team (race unspecified but the reader may guess) from London to Brussels.

Some 150 assembled diplomats and officials were handed bongos before forming a circle and being encouraged to "drum to the same beat."

The Ambassador also laid on a 'life coach', who taught the diplomats to flick yo-yos and use coloured pencils to set out their thoughts and fears.

Jonathan Allen, the British Press Attaché in Brussels, said: "The drumming was a good team-building exercise – we all made a noise together."

* * *

A GULF WAR veteran, Nigel Hounslow, had put up with local yobbos terrorising his neighbourhood for two and a half years, with the police, when called, doing nothing. The final straw came when he saw one of them jumping on his car and damaging it. He ran out of his house, caught the youth and gave him a good whacking.

Then, as he was marching the youth home to his parents, Mr. Hounslow, of Holbury, Hants., was arrested by police and charged with assault.

Fortunately, the judge at the court took a more

sensible view of the affair than PC Plod had done, and he let Mr. Hounslow off with a suspended sentence and ordered him to pay £500 in court costs.

* * *

LINDA McDONALD had dedicated her life to healing people around the world, including Africa. Two years ago, when visiting that continent on what she described as "part of her own healing journey," she met an African self-styled 'healer', one Hibiekoun Hien, and fell in love with him. They married and she took him back with her to her home town of Buckfastleigh, Devon, where she ran her own healing practice.

But things didn't work out quite as planned. Hibiekoun found life in Devonshire rather hard to adjust to and began to become disoriented. The two had a big row in October last year and he hit Linda about the head and face and killed her.

* * *

CHILDREN should be involved in the selection of their teachers, head teachers and even doctors. This pearl of wisdom has come from Professor Al Aynsley Green, who enyoys the title of Children's Commissioner for England.

Professor Aynsley Green says that his own selection process, which involved a written test that was set and marked by children, and an interview with a panel of 11 to 18-year-olds, had convinced him that children should be consulted about appointments.

* * *

NEW judges will not need to have been lawyers in order to qualify for being called to the bench. This is part of a Government drive, headed by Lord Chancellor Lord Falconer, to promote more women.

The proposed reforms will allow legal executives – senior law firm workers who do not hold the full qualifications of barristers and solicitors – to win jobs as judges.

The noble Lord has said that this new scheme has been cooked up in the interests of 'judicial diversity'.

'STAND BY loyal Ulster' was the battle cry of the old National Front. Many nationalists were, and still are, very passionate about Ulster and its politics. However, it seems that in the realms of contemporary nationalist politics, typified by the stance of the leadership clique of the British National Party, to support the continuance of Ulster remaining part of the United Kingdom is beyond the pale.

The main reason for this is that Mr. Griffin and his leadership circle see supporting loyal Ulster as a vote-loser, whereas anyone with common sense would have thought that a British National Party would support Britain and its integral parts. As touched on in the June issue of *Spearhead* in the article 'Too many wrong messages', the tendency seems to be for the BNP to split the British people into separate ethnic groups and to speak of the English, Scots, Welsh and Northern Irish as nations apart. This, to my mind, goes against the entire idea of British Nationalism.

There needs to be a proper discussion on how Ulster should play a full part in nationalist politics, however much our enemies may scream 'sectarianism' at us.

Ulster is a tricky issue, but that does not mean we should not confront it. Now that we are in the traditional loyalist marching season, let's approach the issue with an open mind.

History in Ulster, and Ireland as a whole, is a very emotive subject. People seem to remember the events of 1690 and 1916 as if they happened yesterday. That there are two traditions in the island is a vast understatement; and the histories of Ireland, Ulster politics and Britain are inextricably intertwined, giving enough subject matter to fill several books, let alone an article in a magazine.

From a perspective of British Nationalism, 1688 and its 'Glorious Revolution' was a watershed year; the succession of William of Orange to the throne at the invitation of Parliament was the start of our true path to imperial power, but it is also seen now as when Jews, following William from Holland, founded the Bank of 'England', the start of the rise of the powers of international finance.

Republicanism and the left

The history of Ulster relevant to the nationalist scene starts from the civil strife of the sixties and the conflict there ever since. The history is seen as a religious struggle: Protestant versus Catholic, a battle of wills between the two traditions. What we, as readers of *Spearhead* and British Nationalists, must appreciate is that the tradition of Irish Republicanism is most definitely *anti-British*. It is no accident that the ranks of the far left have for decades been filled with Irish Republicans and their fellow travellers. Irish Republicanism in its most extreme form comes in the shape of the Irish Republican Army (IRA) and the Irish National Liberation Army (INLA), which of course work continually to destabilise the British state in order to pursue their Marxist aims.

The IRA and the INLA are Marxist organisations, and have been since their inceptions. These terrorist organisations are

Why British Nationalists must stand by loyal Ulster

not nationalists but multi-racialists and globalists, who are as much a danger to Ireland as to Britain. Critics of loyalists complain that groups such as the Progressive Unionist Party (PUP) are also Marxist multi-racialists. However, the PUP does not

we all know, 'freedom' has become a bit of loose concept nowadays.

If the Irish Republicans achieved all their aims, and destroyed British Ulster, they would have to deal with a hostile Protestant community. Even a cursory glance at the Irish Republic shows us that it too suffers from coloured immigration, ever more damaging because of its small population, and from the effects of the globalised economy and mass media. In Ireland you can watch Sky News and read the *Daily Mirror* just as you can in Britain.

The current political situation in Northern Ireland has reached an impasse. At the general election voters turned to Sinn Fein and the Democratic Unionist Party, as a result of which the 'peace process' has virtually stalled. The Unionist community has finally realised that they have been the ones doing all the giving away, while Sinn Fein (PIRA's political mouthpiece) have not given up one bullet or one ounce of Semtex.

The British Government led by Tony Blair has of course bent over backwards to accommodate Gerry Adams and Martin McGuinness, deciding that Ulster is not worth another PIRA bombing campaign in London's financial centres like Canary Wharf. A substantial section of the Labour Party has always been instinctively Irish Republican, exemplified by Ken Livingstone who has stated: "What we did to the Irish during the potato famine was like what Hitler did to the Jews." The Tory party, hopefully in terminal decline, used to have figures in the past who were what could be described as 'anti-IRA'. However, due now to the party becoming ever more desperate to be all things to all men, nationalist parties must fill the breach.

The BNP seems currently to be hitching onto the English Nationalist bandwagon, itself a reaction to the nationalisms of the Celtic fringe, whose politics always boil down to the same multi-racial, globalised agenda. The BNP should in fact be truly a *British* Nationalist party, standing by loyal Ulster, a province of the United Kingdom whose very existence is under threat due to the so-called 'peace process'.

The 'peace process' should be opposed, not because we are warmongers and prefer terrorism and violence to law and order, but because that 'peace process' is deliberately skewed to appease those forces opposed to Britain and Ulster. Coloured immigration is rearing its ugly head in large numbers now in Ulster, where previously it was almost unknown.

Racial nationalist parties must campaign to stand by Loyal Ulster, as the National Front did in the past, as a matter of principle. We must never sacrifice this principle for the sake of what some believe to be tactical expediency.

STEPHEN GLOVER
argues for putting
principle before
imagined political
expediency

have the hold over the loyalist community that the PIRA has over the republican community.

Many ordinary people in the republican community see the PIRA as their saviours, even though they commit unsavoury acts against their own people, the latest example in a long line of horrific crimes being the murder of Robert McCartney. These terrorists are not freedom fighters, even though, as



With the situation in Ulster polarised, the voters have turned away from the compromisers and towards Ian Paisley (above) and Adams and McGuinness (below).



The Reigate Squires

SOME forms of fiction, such as the speeches and statements of Brown and Blair, are both irritating and boring. But other works of imagination are, by contrast, instructive and important. Take, for example, the Sherlock Holmes stories of Sir Arthur Conan Doyle. One story of the great detective, *The Reigate Squires*, concerns a spate of robberies at country houses in Surrey. The police are baffled, but Holmes solves the case. Besides his excellent analytical methods, the main reason for Sherlock's success is that, unlike the police, he does not pre-suppose that the respected Reigate squires can have nothing whatsoever to do with the crimes.

It strikes me, as it probably strikes you, that Holmes's methodology could be extended to many contemporary events. A year ago came the scandal associated with the Mossad agents Uriel Kelman and Eli Cara. They were arrested in New Zealand for falsely obtaining passports in the names of various disabled NZ citizens.

Precisely why their organisation should want these passports is doubtless an area the mainstream media would prefer not to investigate. But shortly after their arrest came the only recorded desecration ever of a Jewish cemetery in New Zealand, a crime which still remains unsolved. We know well enough that over recent years, as our respective societies have crumbled away, yobbery has increased. But what would be Sherlock's verdict on the extraordinary timing of that piece of vandalism?

So many contemporary events are inexplicable when we refuse to entertain all possibilities. It is perhaps a hidden form of cowardice. Many instinctively understand that two massive towers like those in New York couldn't really have been brought down just by aeroplanes, but they prefer not to contemplate the truly horrific truth.

One man who does have that certain quality of courage is Morgan Reynolds, whose paper *Why Did the Trade Centre Skyscrapers Collapse?* comprehensively destroys the official theory. Intriguingly enough, Reynolds is a semi-establishment figure; he's an emeritus professor at a Texas university, and he even served as a member of G.W. Bush's first administration, being chief economist at the Labour Department. His long article, however, doesn't cover every debatable point, and readers may like to fill in the gaps by consulting the writings of Internet essayist John Kaminski.

But Reynolds does conclusively show that the only possible way the Twin Towers could have been brought down was by use of demolition charges placed throughout the buildings and detonated in sequence.

He rightly describes the notion that 19 young Arabs were responsible for the events

A.K. Chesterton, those who really believe the official verdict on 9/11 are best advised to take themselves off to some political kindergarten.

Unfortunately, many of those who 'bought' the original story betook themselves to Iraq and Afghanistan. The stories

Sometimes, says IAN BUCKLEY, it is good to use the detection principles of Sherlock Holmes to make sense of what is going on in the modern world

of 9/11 as a "fantastic conspiracy theory." Sadly today, largely due to the overwhelmingly effective mind-moulding of the mass media, the totally obvious has often become obscure and arcane.

Think for a moment of demolition contractors. They spend a great deal of time, effort and money removing concrete and steel-framed buildings. If there were really a means of totally levelling buildings by low-temperature fires, don't you suppose that they would have discovered it by now?

As Reynolds rather drily comments, "Apparently, the terrorist-inventors have kept this new technology secret." To echo

of some of those who are forced to re-enlist for endless tours of duty are pathetic in the extreme. Men with single arms or legs go back into the fray against their supposed foe, often because the mismanaged American economy is incapable of providing them with even a very basic standard of security or prosperity.

ABSURDITY OF PROXY WARS

How absurd it is for any US marine to fight Israel's proxy wars while back home the family farm is being repossessed or his one-industry small town has that industry exported to China or Mexico. It is, I suppose,



THE TWIN TOWERS INFERNO

Many believe that planes alone could not have destroyed them

just another example of the truism that tragedy seldom lacks elements of grim humour.

Since the mid-1970s, the US Government has been engaged in a war against its own people, and the large and once-prosperous middle class of the country has dwindled away. Seen in this way, 9/11 was just the ultimate act of war by America's 'shadow government' against its own people.

In a handy pamphlet called *The West, the War and Islam*, Ernst Zündel informs us that almost all US presidents – apart from Kennedy and Nixon – have belonged to secret societies. Incidentally, this booklet of Zündel's was honoured by a review from the Anti-Defamation League which commented that: "*The West, War, and Islam* was a paranoid, fear-mongering essay that attempted to warn the Islamic world of its purported enemies – the most important being the 'international Zionists', who were attempting to 'goad the West into a future criminal war' against it."

Paranoid and fear-mongering – whatever you say, masters! Anyway, Zündel – now a courageous political prisoner – concluded the essay with the remark that: "Tomorrow may be too late for both of us."

LONDON: WHO DID IT?

Tomorrow was certainly too late for many citizens of London caught up in the terrorist bombings of 7th July. The attacks to some extent followed the same pattern as in Madrid, Istanbul and Bali. There was a series of attacks without any warning, and then responsibility was claimed by a previously unknown alleged 'extreme Islamist' group. Strangely enough, one bomb went off in a heavily Arab part of London.

Additionally, when a communiqué was issued on the website of 'The Secret Organisation of al Qa'eda', translator Jacob Keryakes discovered an error in one of the quotes from the Koran. The alleged perpetrators are said to be fanatical and devout Muslims, but they can't even quote correctly from their own holy book!

Another odd feature of the bombing was the very date chosen: day, month and year spelled out 7-7-7 (the last 7 being the sum of the digits in 2005). This characteristic of a numerological 'signature' was also seen in the dates selected for the attacks in New York, Madrid and Bali. Arabs and Muslims in general are, I believe, not particularly interested in mystical numerology.

I don't myself think that Mossad was necessarily *directly* responsible for the attacks in London, but the Israeli intelligence agency has a long history of infiltration into groups that are classed as 'Islamic extremist'. This process began with Hamas, which was initially backed by Mossad as a means of reducing the

influence of Yasser Arafat's PLO. The only al Qa'eda cell that has ever been uncovered – in Gaza in late 2002 – turned out to be run by Mossad.

MOSSAD RECORD

Mossad and its predecessors also have a long history of both successful and attempted 'false flag' attacks including (among many others) the King David Hotel bombing, the Lavon affair and the attack on the USS *Liberty*. Going back over half a century, Irgun apparently planned attacks on the House of Commons and the London Underground, as well as the assassination of Foreign Secretary Ernest Bevin, a project of destruction only abandoned when Britain cut and ran from Palestine.

Amid all the interminable discussion of the London atrocities, a single comment struck me as interesting. This was made by some 'professor of terrorism' or other, who stated that while the logistics and planning of the London attack were very sophisticated, the actual planting of the devices could have been left to some untrained fanatic.

But one of the most notable anomalies of the London bombings concerned former Israeli Premier Netanyahu. According to the *Aruta Sheva* news agency, "The Israeli Embassy in London was notified in advance, resulting in Finance Minister Binjamin Netanyahu remaining in his hotel room rather than make (*sic*) his way to the hotel adjacent to the site of the first explosion, a Liverpool Street train station, where he was to address an economic summit."

How very convenient that Mr. Netanyahu received a prior warning! Netanyahu is a self-styled terrorism expert, and once wrote an entire book devoted to the subject. He is also well known for his supposed gaffe that the 9/11 attacks were "very good." By the power of coincidence, Netanyahu was also in New York on the day the Twin Towers went down.

SUSPICIOUS FEATURES

It is probably too early to give a final verdict on what really happened in London but, as I have suggested, there are several suspicious features of note. In response to the London bombings, the former head of Mossad, Efraim Halevi, immediately issued a call in the *Jerusalem Post* for a new total war against 'terror' and a suspension of our civil liberties until the alleged enemy was defeated. He wrote that: "The only way to ensure our safety and security will be to obtain the destruction, the complete destruction, of the enemy." Halevi was good enough to admit that this would imply an almost endless war.

I should like to conclude with a long quote from Israel Adam Shamir, a man,

who like Ben Freedman before him, knows the real truth about much that would otherwise be hidden and obscured. For clarity – and also to avoid the attentions of the thought police – it should be noted that Shamir uses the words 'the Jews' to mean the organised Jewish power structure:-

"On a subconscious level, the Americans and to lesser extent Europeans have already accepted their defeat. Claude Lanzmann sounded the challenge when he said: 'If Auschwitz is true, then there is a human suffering with which that of Christ simply cannot be compared. In this case, Christ is false; and salvation will not come from him. Auschwitz is the refutation of Christ.' This challenge was not met. No new Roland picked up the glove of the advancing enemy. Lanzmann was not ostracised, his films were screened in the cinemas of France, while theologians discussed 'Christianity after Auschwitz'. Spiritual capitulation of the West was manifested by the removal of the Cross and of a church from the grounds of Auschwitz; it was confirmed by the Pope's Canossa in Jerusalem, when the head of the Roman Catholic church asked forgiveness from the Jews.

"It was a mistake. It is not a coincidence that soon afterwards Sharon marched on the Temple Mount and started World War Three. The Jews are no Christians, and they consider apology a sign of surrender. Our friend Paul Eisen wrote:-

"To the Christian and to the entire non-Jewish world, Jews say this: "You will apologise for Jewish suffering again and again and again. And, when you have finished apologising, you will then apologise some more. When you have apologised sufficiently we will forgive you, provided you let us do what we want in Palestine."

"Eisen was too optimistic. Palestine is not the ultimate goal of the Jews; the world is. Palestine is just the place for the world-state headquarters; necessary, for otherwise the people of Europe wouldn't be magnetised like a rabbit in the headlights of a car. If a Jewish state would be established in say, Argentina, as per Baron Hirsh, in Uganda as per Theodor Herzl or in Madagascar as per Hitler, it would not be able to activate deep levels of Christian consciousness.

"Now, appearing as a part of prophecy, it has captivated their minds... In Israel, the share of people approving of the Third Temple plans steadily grows, and now exceeds 60 per cent. With Iraq occupied, Palestinians locked behind the wall, Iranians cowered and Saudis scared to death, it is just a matter of months until the Golden Dome will be blown up and the Third Temple erected. It is difficult to calculate the consequences; whether they will be blissfully limited to a nuclear Armageddon, or (much worse possibility) our Ecumene shall drift into the Jewish universe."

1 www.lewrockwell.com/reynolds/reynolds12.html

THE racial transformation of Britain continues relentlessly as ethnic migrants pour into London *en masse*. These migrants and their descendants now make up 40 per cent of the population of the capital. They are no longer confined to the inner city; as in other European cities like Paris and Brussels, the racial minorities increasingly live on the leafy outskirts of town. But owing to the nature of London's ancient housing stock, and unlike in Europe, they are now living literally next to the native British in the same streets, and yet have no historic or cultural connection with either their surroundings or their hapless British neighbours (or even with their coloured neighbours).

Newsweek magazine recently had a lengthy approving feature on Ken Livingstone's London headed: 'While Europe dithers, London opens its doors to the world'. Seizing ecstatically on this feature, *The Londoner*, the GLA-sponsored newspaper, said that more than 50 separate national or ethnic communities (a possible contradiction to Ken Livingstone's claim of over 300 languages!) are scattered across the Metropolis. Most of the article consisted of downright lies of course, maintaining that "everyone in London celebrates cultural diversity," and that without migrants "London would be shrinking, not booming."

The reality is that London is a grotesquely overcrowded mixed-race city on a par with Cape Town (where, ironically, the Blacks and Whites do *not* live cheek by jowl as in our capital), with most of its massive multi-billion pound budget being spent on income support structures and housing benefits, resulting in huge council tax bills for its residents. A French medical charity says there is a need for its services amid the poverty in East London. Indeed, parts of Britain's inner cities are so dangerous that one of the world's leading parcel firms, DHL, will not deliver there, though it is happy for its couriers to deliver packages to Iraq and Afghanistan! Middle-class white flight is a serious threat to the economic viability of London.

And London's crime situation alone is horrific. Forget about PC Dixon of Dock Green on the look-out for a few bicycle thieves. Most newspapers in the months of May and June this year appeared to be reporting about South Africa or Bolivia rather than the urban Britain we used to know. Page after page of hard-core black and other ethnic crime hits us with headlines like 'Britain's terrifying knife culture'. We read of gun violence, multiple rapes, computer frauds, money laundering and cocaine smuggling. We learn that Reading, in Berkshire, was being targeted by gun-toting Yardie gangsters.

FRAUDS AND RACKETS

There has been massive voter fraud in Bradford by Asian councillors, while other Asians were running a million-pound sham marriage racket. We read of brothel-keeping, child slaughter and sacrificial offerings, with black torsos floating in the Thames. Witchcraft has become a tenet of certain evangelical churches, which mix traditional beliefs about sorcery and exorcism (with children being beaten or starved) with fundamentalist Christian teachings.

A lengthy *Sunday Times* magazine feature recently publicised the rise of teenage girl violence in Britain's

THE INMATES ARE RUNNING THE ASYLUM!

streets. Every single one of the girls featured was black or had an 'ethnic' name. Of all the major crimes warranting lengthy coverage in the national press during that period I calculated that 80 per cent of them involved ethnic minorities.


Meanwhile, the identity issue is getting worse. Black people in Britain inevitably have loyalty to other Blacks, and Asians to other Asians, despite language differences. This is only natural: the British expatriate community working in the Middle East do not consider themselves to be Arabs but live together in British

ANTONY MILNE shows how the racial polarisation of Britain gets worse by the day

enclaves.

The idea that Britishness is merely a political concept is not taken seriously by anyone, black or white. This can't be the case with the West Africans and Indians now parading in the streets in their ethnic garb, and speaking in unrecognisable dialects on London's buses.

Ethnic minority newspapers, like *The Voice* (right), only cover issues affecting their own communities. They have little interest in British affairs, least of all those affecting white people.



What you see is what you get.

Black newspapers and magazines in Britain refer only to issues related to the Caribbean and African diaspora, not to any aspect of white British existence and identity, the countryside, the history and architectural heritage of this country. They have not defined any of it, and cannot locate themselves in it.

Similarly, ethnic MPs are elected not on a party

manifesto, but simply by ethnic constituents who are voting for one of their kind. George Galloway was elected to an East End constituency by Muslim constituents because he was an outspoken critic of the US-led Iraq war. But Galloway would be naive in thinking this was principled anti-war support: his supporters voted against America's attack on a Muslim country, with which they identified.



A SIGN OF THE TIMES
The new Archbishop of York

Now the official image of Ken Livingstone's London is getting darker. Virtually all GLA adverts and posters about transport and other municipal services show only ethnic minorities. Most government, transport and public utility literature will also either show ethnic minorities as the majority racial type or with such types prominently featured. Nearly 40 per cent of all national adverts over-represent ethnic minorities, largely out of fear that the companies involved will be called 'racist' if they do otherwise.

ETHNIC MINORITY POWER

Many Blacks and Asians seek to entrench themselves into British power structures. Muslims actually admit that they want to increase their membership in the Commons purely for sectarian reasons.

To protect themselves and to intimidate local Whites who might go to town hall meetings and raise the immigration issue, these people get themselves elected onto local councils, and simply declare, backed up by their white fellow-travellers, that to raise any matter concerning multi-culturalism and immigration is 'racist'.

Some ethnics are naturally attracted to the legal profession (a quarter of all law students in Britain today are of foreign origin), the easier to pass legal judgements that benefit them and further to challenge any anti-immigrant sentiment or legislation. An Asian heads the Law Society, and there is a Black Solicitors' Network, which speaks for itself. The head of the pressure group Liberty is also an Asian. She is concerned only about the well-being of minorities, and attacks ID cards only from the minority viewpoint.

Take the case of the recent appointment of the new Archbishop of York, only one step below the status of

that of the Archbishop of Canterbury. He is a black man, born in Uganda, with dodgy theological credentials; and he is an appalling public speaker. Yet he was authorised to become Archbishop on the say-so of Tony Blair to speak for and represent the Church of England, which used to be the spiritual embodiment of the English people.

A *coup d'état* has taken place here. Bishop Sentamu is a left-wing political activist who, with no understanding of or respect for the British, has only made public statements about human rights, 'racism' and poverty in Africa.

Sentamu, along with two other white lefties, libelled and slandered the British police as 'racists', which resulted in the notorious and foolishly craven McPherson Report. This is another example of increasingly strident black insurgent power dominating increasingly cowardly and supine white officialdom. This Report has done enormous damage to race relations and to the police forces themselves, now engaged in a theoretical McCarthyite struggle to root out 'racism' among their own staff. But there was no racism to begin with. Street brawls resulting in the murder of strangers have always been the most difficult of crimes to solve because of the lack of credible witnesses and the tendency of associates and friends to 'close ranks'. There remain many unsolved murders in this country. The clear-up rate for the Met area for all crimes in 2004 was just 18.3 percent.

The new Metropolitan Police commissioner – appropriately named Blair – is essentially a politician in drag, desperately wanting to prove his anti-racist credentials by allowing his own policemen to be subject to a disciplinary enquiry on trumped up charges levelled at them by an Asian woman officer.

An important secondary objective of the McPherson Report was to let black criminals off the hook, and it has succeeded. Police officers now often cannot be bothered to check into black crime because of the accusations of 'racism' likely to be levelled at them.

The *Daily Mail* had a headline about an Asian who was attacked in the street (the race of the attackers was conveniently not mentioned): "This

proud father came to Britain for a better life." I speak for many when I say that no foreigner ought to seek a better life in this country at the expense of the indigenous British.

We should say who comes here, not the politicians, or the immigrants, nor the liberal media. This is a universal plea, and would be repeated by most citizens in most countries, whether of immigrant origin or not.



SIR IAN BLAIR
Desperate to win his spurs as an 'anti-racist'

A recurrent theme in these pages in recent times has been the universal mischief engaged in by the United States with the object of destabilising nations around the world in order more easily to bring them within the orbit of the US-controlled (or more accurately Zionist-controlled) 'New World Order'. The US Government and sundry American-based non-governmental agencies, all strongly Zionist-influenced, have taken it upon themselves to be the arbiters of what leadership and forms of government other nations around the world are permitted to live under, and thus to possess the right to interfere, by military or political means, to effect 'regime change' in one country after another. Iraq is the most spectacular example of this, but there have been others too, including quite recently Ukraine. The following article demonstrates some of the processes by which this policy is carried out. It is reproduced, with some small abridgement, with acknowledgements to *Impact* magazine, Box 2055, Noorsekloof, Jeffreys Bay 6331, South Africa.

THE US Government and allied forces' year-end installation of Victor Yushenko as President of Ukraine has completed the field-testing of the 'Post-Modern Coup'. Employing and fine-tuning the same sophisticated techniques used in Serbia in 2000 and Georgia in 2003, it is widely expected that the United States will attempt to apply the same methods throughout the former Soviet Union.

"We have to confront those forces that are committed to reproducing a Georgian or Ukrainian scenario," Kirghiz President Askar Akayev stated on December 26th, the day of the *coup* in his country [Kirghizstan]. "We will not allow the import of Rose [Georgian] and Orange [Ukrainian] revolutions in our country." One day later, the Kazakh Government launched a criminal case against the Soros Foundation, one of the *coup's* financiers, for tax evasion. And last spring, Uzbek President Islam Karimov - accusing Soros of overseeing the revolution in Georgia, and condemning his efforts to "fool and brainwash" the young intelligentsia in his own country - banned the Soros Foundation. The same networks are increasingly active in South America, Africa and Asia. Top targets include Venezuela and Iran, among others.

The method employed is usefully described by *The Guardian's* Ian Traynor in a November 26th 2004 article entitled 'US campaign behind the turmoil in Kiev [Ukraine]', during the first phase of the *coup* there:-

"With their websites and stickers, their pranks and slogans... the democracy guerrillas of the Ukrainian *Pora!*

THE TEMPLATE

How Washington subverts nation-states in the drive to global dominion.

JONATHAN MOWAT reports.

youth movement have already notched up a famous victory.

"The campaign is an American creation, a sophisticated and brilliantly conceived exercise in western branding and mass-marketing that, in four countries in four years, has been used to... topple unsavoury regimes. "Funded and organised by the US Government, deploying American consultancies, pollsters, diplomats, the two big American parties and US non-governmental organisations, the campaign was first based in Europe in Belgrade in 2000 to beat Slobodan Milosevic at the ballot box.

"Richard Miles, the US Ambassador in Belgrade [Serbia] played a key role. And by last year, as US Ambassador in Tbilisi [Georgia] he repeated the trick. Ten months after the success in Belgrade, the US Ambassador in Minsk [Belarus], Michael Kozak, a veteran of similar operations in Central America - notably in Nicaragua - organised a near-identical campaign to try to defeat the Belarus hard man, Alexander Lukaschenko.

"The operation - engineering 'democracy' through the ballot box and civil disobedience - is now so slick that the methods have matured into a template for winning other people's elections."

Much of the *coup* apparatus is the same as that used in the overthrow of

President Fernando Marcos of the Philippines in 1986, and Vaclav Havel's 'Velvet Revolution' in Czechoslovakia in 1989.

As in these early operations, the National Endowment for Democracy (NED), and its primary arms - the National Democratic Institute for International Affairs (NDI) and the International Republican Institute (IRI) - played a central role. The NED was established by the Reagan administration in 1983 to do overtly what the CIA had done covertly.

Soros finger in the pie

The Cold War propaganda and operations centre, Freedom House - now chaired by former CIA director James Woolsey - has also been involved, as were billionaire George Soros's foundations, whose donations always dovetail those of the NED.

What is new about the template bears on the use of the Internet and cell phones (*mobile phones. Ed.*), to steer angry and suggestible 'Generation X' youth rapidly into and out of mass demonstrations - a capability that only emerged in the mid-1990s. "With the crushing ubiquity of cell phones, satellite phones, PCs, modems and the Internet," Laura Rosen emphasised in *Salon Magazine* in February 2001, "the information age is shifting the advantage from authoritarian leaders to civic groups."

The emphasis on the use of new communication technologies rapidly to deploy small groups suggests we are seeing a civilian application of US Defence Secretary Donald Rumsfeld's 'Revolution in Military Affairs' doctrine, which depends on small, highly mobile deployments, enabled by 'real-time' intelligence and communications.

Squads of soldiers taking over city blocks with the aid of 'intelligence helmet' video screens that give them an instantaneous overview of their environment, constitute the military side. Bands of youths converging on targeted intersections, in constant dialogue on cell phones, constitute the doctrine's



AMERICAN PUPPET?

New Ukrainian President Victor Yushenko is seen here with George W. Bush. The US had a lot of input into the election that brought Yushenko to power.

civilian application.

This parallel should not be surprising, since the US military and National Security Agency subsidised the development of the Internet, cellular phones and software platforms. From their inception, these technologies were studied and experimented with in order to find the optimal use in a new kind of warfare. The 'revolution' in warfare that such new instruments permit has been pushed to the extreme by several specialists in psychological warfare. Although these military utopians have been working in high places for a long time, to a large extent they only took over some of the most important command structures of the US military apparatus with the victory of the 'neo-conservatives' in the Pentagon of Donald Rumsfeld.

Violence and non-violence

The new techniques of warfare include the use of *both* lethal (violent) and non-lethal (non-violent) tactics. Both are conducted using the same philosophy, infrastructure and *modus operandi*. The tactic of *swarming* is a fundamental element in both violent and non-violent forms of warfare. This new philosophy of war, which is supposed to replicate the strategy of Genghis Khan as enhanced by modern technologies, is intended to aid both military and non-military assaults against targeted states through what are, in effect, 'high-tech' hordes. In that sense there is no difference, from the standpoint of the plotters, between Iraq and Ukraine, if only that many think the Ukraine-like *coup* is more effective and easier.

Indicative of the common objective are the comments of the theoreticians of the post-modern *coup*, for example Dr. Peter Ackerman, author of *Strategic Non-Violent Conflict*, who said: "It is not true that the only way to 'take out' such regimes [as Iraq, Iran and North Korea] is through US military action." Ackerman is also the founding chairman of the International Centre on Non-Violent Conflicts in Washington DC. In a speech at the State Department (June 29th 2004) entitled 'Between Hard and Soft Power: the Rise of Civilian-based and Democratic Change', he elaborated on the concept involved. He proposed that youth movements, such as those used to bring down Serbia, could bring down Iran and North Korea, and could have been used to bring down Iraq. He reported that he had been working with the top US weapons designer, Lawrence

Livermore Laboratories, on developing new communications technologies that could be used in other youth movement insurgencies. "There is no question that these technologies are democratising," he stressed. "They enable decentralised activity. They create, if you will, a digital concept of the right of assembly."

Manipulation of the young

As in the case of the new communication technologies, the potential effectiveness of angry youth in post-modern coups has long been under study. As far back as 1967, Dr. Fred Emery, then director of the Tavistock Institute, and an expert on the hypnotic effects of television, specified that the then new phenomenon of 'swarming adolescents' found at rock concerts could be effectively used to bring down the nation-state by the end of the 1990s. The British military created the Tavistock Institute as its psychological warfare arm following World War I. It has been the forerunner of such strategic planning ever since. Dr. Emery's concept saw immediate application in NATO's use of 'swarming adolescents' in toppling French President Charles de Gaulle in 1967.

Dr. Howard Perlmutter, a professor of 'Social Architecture' at the Wharton School and a follower of Dr. Emery, stressed that 'rock video in Katmandu' was an appropriate image of how states with traditional cultures could be destabilised, thereby creating the possibility of "a global civilisation."

This brings us to the final ingredient of these new *coups*: the deployment of polling agencies' 'exit polls', broadcast on international television to give a false (or sometimes accurate) impression of massive vote-fraud by the ruling party, to put targeted states on the defensive. Polling operations in the recent *coups* have been overseen by such outfits as Penn, Shoen & Berland, top advisers to Microsoft and Bill Clinton. Praising their role in subverting Serbia, the then US Secretary of State Madeleine Albright (later to be chairman of NDI) in an October 2000 letter to the firm quoted on its website, stated: "Your work with the National Democratic Institute and the Yugoslav opposition contributed directly and decisively to the recent breakthrough for democracy in that country... This may be one of the first instances where polling has played such an important role in setting and securing foreign policy objectives." Penn, Schoen & Berland also ran the widely televised 'exit poll' operations in the Ukrainian

elections.

In the aftermath of such youth deployments and media operations, more traditional elements come to the fore. That is the forceful, if covert, intervention by international institutions and governments threatening the targeted regime, and using well-placed operatives within the targeted regime's military and intelligence services to ensure no counter-measures can be effectively deployed. Without these traditional elements, of course, no post-modern *coup* could ever work.

Albert Einstein Institute

The creation and deployment of *coups* of any kind require agents on the ground. The main handler of these *coups* on the 'street side' has been the Albert Einstein Institute, which was formed in 1983 as an offshoot of Harvard University under the impetus of Dr. Gene Sharp, and which specialises in "non-violence as a form of warfare." Dr. Sharp has been the executive secretary of A.J. Muste, the famous US Trotskyite labour organiser and 'peacenik'. The group is funded by Soros and the NED. Albert Einstein's president is Colonel Robert Helvey, a former US Army officer with 30 years of experience in South East Asia. He has served as the case officer for youth groups active in the Balkans and Eastern Europe since at least 1999.

Col. Helvey reported, in a January 29th 2001 interview with film producer Steve York in Belgrade, that he first got involved in 'strategic non-violence' upon seeing the failure of military approaches to topple dictators - especially in Myanmar [Burma], where he had been stationed as military attaché - and seeing the potential of Sharp's alternative approach. According to B. Raman, former director of India's foreign intelligence agency RAW - in a December 2001 paper published by his institute entitled 'The USA's National Endowment for Democracy (NED): an Update' - Helvey "was an officer of the Defence Intelligence Agency (DIA) of the Pentagon. He had served in Vietnam and subsequently as the US Defence Attaché in Myanmar (1983-85), during which he clandestinely organised the [Burmese] students to work behind Aung San Suu Kyi in collaboration with Bo Mya's Karen insurgent group. In Hong Kong he trained student leaders from Beijing in mass demonstration techniques, and is now believed to be acting as an adviser to the Falun Gong, the religious sect of China, in similar

Contd. overleaf

THE TEMPLATE

(Contd. from prev. page)

civil disobedience techniques." Col. Helvey nominally retired from the army in 1991, but had been working with Albert Einstein and Soros long before then.

War by other means

Reflecting Albert Einstein's patronage, one of its first books was Dr. Sharp's *Making Europe Unconquerable: the Potential of Civilian-Based Deterrence and Defence*, published in 1985 with a foreword by George Kennan, the famous 'Mr. X', the 1940s architect of the Cold War, who was also a founder of the CIA's Operations Division. In his foreword, Kennan praises Sharp for showing "the possibilities of deterrence and resistance by civilians" as a "partial alternative to the traditional, purely military, concepts of national defence." The book was promptly translated into German, Norwegian, Italian, Danish and other NATO countries' languages.

Such formulations shed some light on a professional apparatus of destabilisation that had been invisible to the public for several decades.

It is noteworthy that the former deputy chief of intelligence for the US Army in Europe, General Edward Atkeson, first "suggested the name 'civilian-based defence' to Sharp," as reported by John M. McCartney, co-ordinator of the Non-Violent Action for National Defence Institute. By 1985, Gen. Atkeson, by then retired from the US Army, was giving seminars at Harvard entitled 'Civilian-Based Defence and the Art of War'.

As we shall see, with such backing, Col. Helvey and his colleagues have created a series of youth movements, including *Otpor!* (Serbia), *Kmara!* (Georgia), *Pora!* (Ukraine) and the like, which are already virtually replicating other sects throughout the former Soviet Union, achieving in civilian form what had not been possible militarily in the 1980s. The groups are also spreading to Africa and South America.

The networks and methods used in the Serbia-through-Ukraine sequence were first publicly revealed in a *Washington Post* article on December 11th 2000 by Michael Dobbs, entitled 'US Advice Guided Milosovic Opposition: Political Consultants Helped Yugoslav Opposition Topple Authoritarian Leader'. Dobbs reported:-

"US-funded consultants played a crucial role behind the scenes in virtually every facet of the anti-

Milosovic drive, running tracking polls, training thousands of opposition activists and helping to organise a vitally important parallel vote count. US taxpayers paid for 5,000 cans of spray paint used by student activists to scrawl anti-Milosovic graffiti on walls across Serbia, and for 2.5 million stickers with the slogan 'He's Finished', which became the revolution's catch-phrase.

"Some Americans involved in the anti-Milosovic effort said they were aware of CIA activity at the fringes of the campaign, but had trouble finding out what the agency was up to. Whatever it was, they concluded it was not particularly effective. The lead role was taken by the State Department and the US Agency for International Development, the Government's foreign assistance agency, which channelled the funds through commercial contractors and non-profit groups such as the National Democratic Institute (NDI) and its Republican counterpart, the International Republican Institute (IRI).

"... In March, IRI paid for two dozen *Otpor!* leaders to attend a seminar on non-violent resistance in Budapest [Hungary]. During the seminar the Serbian students received training in such matters as how to overcome fear, and how to underpin the authority [of the state]. The principal lecturer was retired US Army Col. Robert Helvey... Helvey introduced the *Otpor!* activists to the ideas of American theoretician Gene Sharp, whom he described as 'the Clausewitz of the non-violence movement'."



MILOSEVIC

Americans engineered his downfall

The relatively sophisticated knowledge of how to develop non-violent power was not intuitive. Miljenko Dereta, the director of a private group in Belgrade called Civic Initiatives, got funding from Freedom House in the US to print and distribute 5,000 copies of Sharp's book. *Otpor!* adapted sections of Sharp's magnum opus into a Serbian-language notebook they dubbed 'The *Otpor!* User Manual', consciously using

the "ideology of non-violent, individual resistance."

Helvey emphasised how to break the people's habits of subservience to authority, and also how to subvert the regime's 'pillars of support', including the police and armed forces. Crucially, he warned them against "contaminants to a non-violent struggle," especially violent action, which would deter ordinary people from joining the movement, and alienate the international community, from which material and financial assistance could be drawn. As Popovic put it: "Stay non-violent and you will get the support of the third party."

Revolution by proxy

That support, largely denied to the Serbian opposition before, now began to flow. *Otpor!* And other dissident groups received funding from the National Endowment for Democracy, affiliated to the US Government, and the US Institute for Peace. The International Republican Institute, also financed by the US Government, channelled funding to the opposition and met with *Otpor!* leaders several times. The US Agency for International Development, the well-spring for most of this financing, was also the source of money that went for materials like tee-shirts and stickers.

With the funding provided by Freedom House and the US Government, *Otpor!* established the Centre for Non-Violent Resistance in Budapest to train other groups. *The Guardian* (November 2004) reported: "If you want to know how to beat a regime that controls the mass media, the judges, the courts, the security apparatus and the voting stations, the young Belgrade activists are for hire."

Before becoming President of Georgia, the US-educated Saakashvili travelled from Tbilisi to Belgrade to be coached in the techniques of mass defiance. In Belarus the US Embassy organised the dispatch of opposition leaders to the Baltic, where they met up with Serbs travelling from Belgrade. In Serbia's case, given the hostile environment in Belgrade, the Americans organised the overthrow from neighbouring Hungary.

The US Democratic Party's National Democratic Institute, the Republican Party's International Republican Institute, the US State Department and USAID are the main agencies involved in these grass-roots campaigns, as well as Freedom House NGO and billionaire George Soros's Open Society Institute.

Aid to Africa: time for some firm ground rules

FRANK KIMBAL-JOHNSON
calls for some level-headed thinking

WE are currently deluged with media propaganda and the publicity stunts of pop celebrities concerning African poverty, starvation and disease. These are designed to make people in the West feel obliged to donate ever more financial aid and urge their own governments to cancel African debts. This, we are assured, will at last enable the African people to realise their potential and 'catch up' with the rest of the world.

So why on earth didn't we think of this before as a way to 'make poverty history' in this country? While you're struggling for an answer, bear in mind that £450 billion of foreign aid has disappeared into the black hole of African corruption and incompetence over the last forty years or so, with no discernible progress that is not wholly attributable to the know-how and administrative probity of Western agents. Of course, when liberals are confronted with such incontrovertible facts, their parrot-cry is that the Western powers are nevertheless directly or indirectly responsible for the African predicament; hence the present nauseating campaign to exploit post-colonial 'guilt' for all it's worth. But any impartial study of African history since 1945 has to conclude that the appalling atrocities and other disasters that have occurred on that continent were not in any respect a consequence of colonialism *but of its withdrawal*.

Anything resembling civilisation in Africa is a residue of colonial rule, and even then more often than not a travesty of the Western model. The megalomaniac African despot, with his private army, limousines and Swiss bank account stuffed with foreign-aid money is a standard fixture of post-colonial Africa. Just as common is the multi-millionaire pop-singer poncing about on the world stage and exhorting real working families to divvy up their hard-earned pittances for more foreign aid.

MERELY A STAGE

In short, the African aid programme is merely a stage for the posturings of a malodorous motley of devious politicians and hyper-inflated nonentities from the entertainment industry. And we are supposed to admire the likes of Gordon Brown for his generosity in giving away other people's money!

So it is certainly a good time to remind ourselves of where British Nationalists should stand amid all this vainglorious posturing and tin-rattling fatuity. There are a few basic rules which should guide us:-

- We owe Africa *nothing* except a willingness to engage in fair trade (when it suits us).
- Africa owes what little it contains of civilised conditions to the efforts of former colonisers and continuing support of Western agencies.
- It is as clear as anything can be in politics that, left to its own devices, Africa is incapable of creating and maintaining anything remotely resembling Western civilisation.
- The only post-colonial 'guilt' attaches to those liberal politicians in the West who abandoned Africa to decades of corruption, incompetence and the massacre of millions in inter-tribal wars.
- One of life's cardinal rules is that there is no responsibility without commensurate authority; so we cannot be held responsible for conditions in African countries when we are simultaneously denied any right to intervene in the way they are governed.
- Accordingly, and so long as Western governments are in the grip of the liberal fallacy of racial equality, foreign aid will remain a monstrous subsidy for inherently corrupt and incompetent

ent African dictators.

- A nationalist government in this country should therefore make any foreign aid conditional on certain reciprocal advantages, the first of which would be the acceptance of repatriated immigrants. It should seek to establish mutually beneficial trading arrangements with those African countries maintaining certain minimal standards of governmental behaviour. It should certainly put an embargo on any export of weaponry to African countries, and it should apply economic sanctions to countries which engaged in such traffic. And it should leave all other contributions to Africa in the hands of those worthy organisations obviously disposed to believe charity begins abroad.
- Meanwhile the most apposite slogan for any African aid programme would be: 'Make contraception obligatory', marking an end to the 'You breed 'em, we feed 'em' fatuity of Western liberalism.

Matt, the incomparable *Sunday Telegraph* cartoonist, provides the most apposite comment on the African aid ballyhoo: a mother saying to her son surrounded by his drum-set: "Could you celebrate the end of world poverty a little more quietly?"

These orgies of self-righteousness have no more impact on the real world than a pop concert or football match. They merely allow mindless multitudes to bask in a collective delusion of political significance and to feel part of a never clearly defined 'moral majority'.

Meanwhile the African millions nurse no greater ambition than to ensconce themselves in Western societies. They know very well that no amount of aid is going to make Africa anything like as good a place in which to live in the foreseeable future.

At bottom, therefore, Africans regard the Western aid programme as just the international form of Apartheid. 'Separate development' being such a remote and nebulous prospect, millions of Africans will therefore remain far more interested in merging into Western societies at the earliest opportunity. After all, why settle for bribes to stay in their own benighted countries when it's so easy to occupy much better ones?



BOB GELDOP

One of the "hyper-inflated nonentities from the entertainment industry." The cause of Africa, which he claims to champion, is a lost one; but his own cause has done well out of it all.

The awesome power of 'The City'

*The following is taken from Chapter 6 of the book **Descent into Slavery**, by Des Griffin, AM, PhD, retired director of the Australian Museum, and published in 1984. Some readers may feel that in places it exaggerates a little, but we are publishing it because of the importance of the subject to which it relates.*

WHEN people think of England such terms as 'Great Britain', 'England', 'The Queen', 'The Crown', 'London', 'The City of London' and 'British Empire' come to mind and blend together into an indistinguishable blur. They are generally looked upon as synonymous, as being representative of the same basic system. During the 1950s and 1960s the author lived in England (London for five years) without even beginning to realise the vast difference that exists in the meaning of some of the above terms.

When people hear of 'The Crown' they automatically think of the King or Queen; when they hear of 'London' or the 'The City' they instantly think of the capital of England in which the monarch has his or her official residence.

To fully understand this unique and generally unknown subject we must define our terms.

When we speak of 'The City' we are in fact referring to a privately owned Corporation – or Sovereign State – occupying an irregular rectangle of 677 acres and located right in the heart of the 610 square-mile Greater London area. The population of 'The City' is listed at just over four thousand, whereas the population of Greater London (32 boroughs) is approximately seven and a half million.

The 'Crown' is a committee of twelve to fourteen men who rule the independent sovereign state known as 'The City of London'. 'The City' is not part of England. It is not subject to the Sovereign. It is not under the rule of the British Parliament. Like the Vatican in Rome, it is a separate, independent state. It is the Vatican of the commercial world. The City, which is often called "the wealthiest square mile on earth," is ruled over by a Lord Mayor. Here are grouped together Britain's great financial and commercial institutions: wealthy banks, dominated by the privately-owned (Rothschild-controlled) Bank of 'England', Lloyd's of London, the London Stock Exchange and the offices of most of the leading international trading concerns. Here also is located Fleet Street, the heart and core of the newspaper and publishing worlds.

TWO MONARCHS

The Lord Mayor of London, who is elected for a one-year stint, is the Monarch in the City. As Aubrey Menen says in *London*, Time-Life, 1976, p. 16: "The relation of this monarch of the City to the monarch of the realm [Queen] is curious and tells much." It certainly is and

certainly does!

When the Queen of England goes to visit the City she is met by the Lord Mayor at Temple Bar, the symbolic gate of the City. She bows and asks for permission to enter his private sovereign state. During such state

DES GRIFFIN examines a 'state within the state'

visits "the Lord Mayor in his robes and chain, and his entourage in medieval costume, outshine the royal party, which can dress up no further than service uniforms." The Lord Mayor leads the Queen into his City. The reason should be clear. The Lord Mayor is the monarch. The Queen is his subject! The monarch always leads the way. The subject always stays a pace or two behind!

The small clique who rule the City dictates to the British Parliament. It tells them what to



THE MAGIC 677 ACRES
According to the writer, The City (above) has all the powers and prerogatives of a sovereign state and tells British governments what to do.

do, and when. In theory Britain is ruled by a prime minister and a cabinet of close advisers. These 'fronts' go to great lengths to create the impression that they are running the show, but in reality they are mere puppets whose strings are pulled by the shadowy characters who dominate behind the scenes. As the former

British Prime Minister of England during the late 1800s, Benjamin Disraeli, wrote: "So you see... the world is governed by very different personages from what is imagined by those who are not behind the scenes." (*Coningsby*, The Century Co., New York, 1907, p. 233).

This fact is further demonstrated by another passage from Menen's book:-

"The Prime Minister, a busy politician, is not expected to understand the mysteries of high finance, while the Chancellor of the Exchequer [Budget Director] is only expected to understand them when he introduces the budget. Both are advised by the permanent officials of the Treasury, and these listen to the City. If they suspect that some policy of the government will [backfire]... it is no use their calling up British ambassadors to ask if it is so; they can find out more quickly from the City. As one ambassador complained to me, diplomats are nowadays no more than office boys, and slow ones at that. "The City will know. They will tell the Treasury and the Treasury will tell the Prime Minister. Woe betide him if he does not listen. The most striking instance of this happened in recent history. In 1956 the then Prime Minister, Sir Anthony Eden... launched a war to regain the Suez Canal. It had scarcely begun when the City let it be known that in a few days he would have no more money to fight it; the Pound would collapse. He stopped the war and was turned out of office by his party. When the Prime Minister rises to address the Lord Mayor's banquet, he hopes that the City will put more behind him than the gold plate lavishly displayed on the sideboard." (p. 18).

History clearly reveals that the British Government is the bond slave of the "invisible and inaudible" force centred in the City. The City calls the tune. The "visible and audible leaders" are mere puppets who dance to that tune on command. They have no power. They have no authority. In spite of all the outward show, they are mere pawns in the game being played by the financial elite.

HISTORY OF 'THE CITY'

From the time of William the Conqueror until the middle of the seventeenth century the British monarchs ruled supreme – their word was law. They truly were sovereign in every sense of the word. As British strength and influence grew around the world toward the end of the 1600s, the wealth, strength and influence of the elite merchants in the City also grew – only at a faster pace. In 1694 the privately owned Bank of 'England' (a central bank) was established to finance the profligate ways of William III. The bank was financed by a group of City merchants who used William Paterson as a 'front'. The names of the founders have never been made public.

It was at that juncture that the Bank of 'England' and the City began to dominate and control the affairs of Britain. Their influence and wealth grew in leaps and bounds in the century that followed. *The Illustrated Universal History*, 1878, records that "Great Britain emerged from her long contest with France with increased power and national glory. Her Empire was greatly expanded in all parts of the world; her supremacy on the sea was undisputed; her wealth and commerce were increased... But with all this national prosperity, the lower classes of the English people were sunk in extreme wretchedness and poverty, having been bled dry during the struggle of the previous twenty years. It was at this juncture (1815) that the House of Rothschild seized control of the British economy, the Bank of 'England' and the City – and, through their other branches, control of the other European nations.

Prior to this period, Britain had developed colonies and outposts in the far-flung reaches of the globe. Having been thrown out of the Western Hemisphere, Britain now concentrated on acquiring and developing additional possessions elsewhere.

During its heyday in the nineteenth century, approximately 90 per cent of all international trade was carried in British ships. Other shippers had to pay the Crown royalties or commissions for the 'privilege' of doing business on the high seas. During these years "Britannia Ruled the Waves" through the domination of the most modern and powerful navy known up to that time.

TWO SEPARATE EMPIRES

To avoid misunderstanding, it is important that the reader recognise the fact that two separate empires were operating under the guise of the British Empire. One was the Crown Empire and the other was the British Empire. All the colonial possessions that were white were under the Sovereign – i.e. under the authority of the British government.

Such nations as the Union of South Africa, Australia, New Zealand and Canada were governed under British law. These only represented thirteen percent of the people who made up the inhabitants of the British Empire.

All the other parts of the British Empire – nations like India, Egypt, Bermuda, Malta, Cyprus and colonies in Central Africa, Singapore, Hong Kong and Gibraltar (those areas inhabited by the browns, yellows and blacks) were all Crown Colonies. These were not under British rule. The British parliament had no authority over them. They were privately owned and ruled by a private club in London, England, known as the Crown. The Crown's representative in such areas held the absolute power of life and death over all the people under his jurisdiction. There were no courts and no method of appeal or retribution against a decision rendered by the representatives of the Crown. Even a British citizen who committed a crime in a Crown colony was subject to the Crown law. He couldn't appeal to British

law as it didn't apply. As the Crown owned the committee known as the British Government, there was no problem getting the British taxpayer to pay for naval and military forces to maintain the Crown's supremacy in these areas. Any revolts were met with terrible retribution by the British Navy at no cost to the Crown.

The City reaped fantastic profits from its operations conducted under the protection of the British armed forces. This wasn't British commerce and British wealth. The international bankers, prosperous merchants and the British aristocracy who were part of the 'City' machine accumulated vast fortunes, which they lavishly squandered in their pursuit of prestige and standing in British society. Had the wealth been spread out among all the people in the British Isles, prosperity would have abounded.

In spite of the wealth of the world flowing into the City, the majority of the British people were barely making ends meet. Many were impoverished to the point of despair. The élite lived in regal splendour. The poor British peasants were never given a chance to get a cut of the action.

Simon Haxey, Tory MP, in *England's Money Lords*, drew his readers' attention to the "total disregard or open contempt displayed by the aristocracy" towards the British people. He also asked: "What part do the colonial people play in the battle for democracy when they themselves have no democratic rights and the British governing class refuses to grant such rights" (pp. 114,115).

David Lloyd George, a future prime minister, emphasized the power of the City and its total contempt for the 'wretches' who were not part of the 'club'. In a 1910 speech he stated: "We do most of the business of the world. We carry more international trade – probably ten times more – than Germany. Germany carries her own trade largely. The international trade is ours. Well, we do not do it for nothing. As a matter of fact, our shipping brings us over a hundred millions (pounds) a year, mostly paid by that wretched foreigner. I'm taxing the foreigner for all I know... You've heard a good deal of talk here, probably, about the exportation of capital abroad. There is no way in which we can make the foreigner pay more... We get the foreigner in four ways by that. The first way we leave to Lord Rothschild..." (*Better Times*, published 1910).

About seventy years ago, Vincent Cartwright Vickers stated that "... financiers in reality took upon themselves, perhaps not the responsibility, but certainly the power of controlling the markets of the world and therefore the numerous relationships between one nation and another, involving international friendship and mistrusts... Loans to foreign countries are organised and arranged by the City of London with no thought whatsoever of the nation's welfare but solely in order to increase indebtedness upon which the City thrives and grows rich... This national and

mainly international dictatorship of money which plays off one country against another and which, through ownership of a large portion of the press, converts the advertisement of its own private opinion into a semblance of general public opinion, cannot for much longer be permitted to render democratic government a mere nickname. Today we see through a glass darkly; for there is so much which 'it would not be in the public interest to divulge'..." (E.C. Knuth, *Empire of 'The City'*, p. 65).

All of the above points were stressed by Roland G. Usher on pages 80, 83 and 84 of *Pan Germanism*, written in 1913:-

"The London and Paris bankers [the international bankers] control the available resources of the world at any one moment, and can therefore practically permit or prevent the undertaking of any enterprise requiring the use of more than a hundred million dollars actual value..."

"The international bankers own probably the major part of the bonded indebtedness of the world. Russia, Turkey, Egypt, India, China, Japan, and South America are probably owned, so far as any nation can be owned, in London or Paris. Payment of interest on these vast sums is secured by the pledging of the public revenues of these countries, and, in the case of the weaker nations, by the actual delivery of the perception into the hands of the agents of the English and French bankers. In addition, a very large share, if not the major part, of the stocks and industrial securities of the world are owned by those two nations and the policies of many of the world's enterprises dictated by their financial heads. The world itself, in fact, pays them tribute; it actually rises in the morning to earn its living by utilising their capital, and occupies its days in making them still wealthier."

In 1946 E.C. Knuth wrote: "The bulwark of the British financial oligarchy lies in its ageless and self-perpetuating nature, its long-range planning and prescience, its facility to outwait and break the patience of its opponents. The transient and temporal statesmen of Europe and particularly of Britain itself, who have attempted to curb this monstrosity, have all been defeated by their limited tenure of confidence. Obligated to show action and results in a too short span of years, they have been outwitted and outwaited, deluged with irritants and difficulties; eventually obliged to temporise and retreat. There are few who have opposed them in Britain and America without coming to a disgraceful end, but many, who served them well, have also profited well." (*Empire of 'The City'*, p. 65).

Read Spearhead on the Internet!

The best articles and news items published in *Spearhead* in recent months can be seen on the *Spearhead* web-site. You can visit this site at:-

www.spearhead.com

WHEN 9,343 DIED AT SEA

First came the politics...

At a meeting of the World Jewish Council held in the United States of America in 1933, war was declared on the German economy and on the German people. An international boycott of German products was followed by attacks on American establishments still selling German goods, while International Finance launched its own attack on the Reichsmark, the German currency. The beleaguered Government of the National Socialist German Workers Party responded by taking the Reichsmark off the gold standard, which effectively made that currency non-convertible abroad.

... and then the murders

Wilhelm Gustloff was born on 30th. January 1895 in Mecklenburg-Schwerin and in 1917 moved to Davos, a town in the German-speaking Graubünden canton of Switzerland, where he worked as an observation secretary at the Meteorological Institute. He sincerely believed that such areas should rightly be incorporated in a Greater Germany and fervently admired Adolf Hitler. Between 1931 and 1936 he founded no fewer than 45 local groups which included 21 Hitler Youth branches as well as 12 Party organisations which served the 3,500 Germans living in Berne. On the 4th February in the latter year he was shot five times in the head and chest by a Jewish student, David Frankfurter. His funeral cortege in his home town was led by Rudolf Hess and followed by 35,000 people. Interestingly, less than three years after this, Ernst vom Rath, third secretary to the German Embassy in Paris, was assassinated by a Polish Jew, Herschel Grynszpan. International terrorism was certainly alive and kicking in the 1930s.

"Strength through Joy"

Doctor Robert Ley, head of the German Labour Front, summarised the goals of his KdF (Kraft durch Freude) recreational organisation in this way: "The worker sees that we are serious about raising his social position. He sees that it is not the so-called educated classes whom we send out as representative of the new Germany, but himself, the German worker, whom we show to the world." There were, he said, "no longer classes in the Third Reich. In the years to come the worker will lose the last traces of inferiority feelings he may have inherited from the past."

Up until that time, ocean-going travel had been, across the civilised world, the preserve of the wealthy. This

was about to change. A KdF fleet of superbly fitted liners would offer workers cruises costing no more than one week's pay. On May 5th 1937 the flagship of this fleet was launched and named, appropriately by the widow of the murdered patriot, the *Wilhelm Gust-*

things out so that the *Wilhelm Gustloff* and sister ships could dock at Lisbon before travelling on to the beautiful Atlantic island of Madeira. Virtually none of their passengers had ever travelled beyond their own Fatherland, so that friends and relatives were bombarded

COLIN VERNON relates the tragic story of the KdF liner, *Wilhelm Gustloff*

loff. Again, most appropriately, the passengers on the ship's maiden voyage into the North Sea were the workers of Blohm & Voss who had built the vessel, together with their families.

At that time even the cold statistics were mind-bending. The total open area on all ten deck levels was 5,000 square metres. Measuring 208.5 metres long and 56 metres high from the keel to the tip of the mast, she housed 1,463 passengers and 417 crew members in maximum comfort, while four diesel motors and twin propellers drove her through the water at a constant 15 knots for up to 12,000 nautical miles before she needed to refuel.

An island in the sun

An initial difficulty for the cruise planners was that, because the German currency was not convertible, the KdF ships could not tie up and discharge their passengers at foreign ports. Thus, on trips to the spectacular fjords, no

with glowing accounts of this tropical paradise. Cameras were clicking non-stop. And back on board the *Wilhelm Gustloff* there were always the restaurants, dance halls, gymnasium, theatre, cinema, swimming pool and a library with 1500 titles! At a more practical level, medical facilities were outstanding for that period, while a telephone call home cost just 5 Reichmarks.

SOS!

On April 2nd 1938 the *Wilhelm Gustloff* left Hamburg docks under orders to proceed to the English Channel to meet the KdF fleet joyfully returning from a voyage to Madeira. But, on the following day, the great German liner picked up a distress message from the British steamship *Pegaway*, which was sinking some 25 nautical miles from the Dutch island of Terschelling. Captain Carl Lütke looked at his charts and telegraphed the stricken British vessel; We will be with you two hours stop maintain personal contact with us stop M/S *Wilhelm Gustloff*.

Bad weather made it impossible to send an oar-powered lifeboat, and an engine-driven boat made its way in dangerous conditions at night in the middle of a terrible storm. The British crew had tied themselves to their ship because the waves were breaking on top of her. Some hours after making contact, the German rescuers safely took off the last of their fellow mariners and the *Pegaway* slipped away to the bottom of the sea. The British Consul-General in Hamburg presented the liner with a commemorative plaque.

The victors are brought home

On May 22nd. 1939 a KdF fleet of six ships, which included the *Wilhelm Gustloff*, left Hamburg without a single passenger aboard. Their destination was Vigo in North-West Spain and their assignment was to bring home the men of the Condor Legion, a German volunteer force. After three bitter years of civil war, General Franco's forces had



THE WILHELM GUSTLOFF
Pioneered sea cruises for working-class people

Norwegian places could be visited. But true, purposeful, co-operation will always find a solution. The German and Portuguese governments hammered

Spearhead

The last issue of *Spearhead*

Spearhead will cease publication with this issue, number 438, of August 2005. After forty years of continuous production, this step has been taken with great regret. This decision is based upon the fact that John Tyndall is irreplaceable. Simply put: John Tyndall was *Spearhead* and *Spearhead* was John Tyndall. In the future, events may well be organised by the 'Friends of Spearhead', and bulletins issued.

Subscribers to our magazine seeking reimbursement for copies that they will not receive, following this decision, should apply to The Secretary at the usual Hove Box number. Subscribers happy not to claim reimbursement, are here assured that any such monies will be devoted responsibly to the cause of British Nationalism.

The following list of publications and organisations may be of help to those seeking a replacement to our magazine :

Identity (BNP), PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, WF5 8WN

Imperium, PO Box 36, Armley, Leeds, LS12 2YE

Heritage & Destiny, PO Box 331, Blackburn, Lancs., BB1 2WU

NF Publications, PO 274, Hemel Hempstead, Herts, HP3 9EQ.

TYR Services, (Videos, DVDs etc.) BCM Tyr, London WC1N 3XX

Steven Books, PO Box 716, Canterbury, CT1 2GG.



The wrong vibrations

"There are, of course, other great cities, including those bidding for 2012. But I don't believe any has a stronger case to be seen as a true world city than London – a vibrant, welcoming, multi-cultural place that is home to 200 nationalities. I can't imagine there will be a competitor at the Games who won't find fellow countrymen or women living in London to cheer them on." (Tony Blair, Prime Minister of the United Kingdom, July 2nd 2005)

At the time of writing, the Metropolitan Police have not yet discovered which particular combination of persons, drawn we suppose from these 200 nationalities, was responsible for four explosions in Central London on July 7th, when dozens were killed and many more seriously injured. All that can be said for certain is that, once again, ordinary working people find themselves in the front line as a result of lunatic globalist policies. The mushy media were quick to compare these horrors with the wartime bombing when "we all carried on as usual." In response to this, survivors of the Blitz, amongst whom we number ourselves, may well put the question: "And where exactly did that get us?" Suggested counter-measure: the occupation of, and the destruction of all weaponry within, the rogue country known as Israel.

Will it outrun The Mousetrap?

The presiding judge asks the well-dressed defendant whether he would like to hear the indictment against him read aloud, and he receives the terse reply: "That's your problem." Four years on, there is a different judge but the 'problem' has not gone away, and the question on many legal lips is: "Has Slobodan Milosevic sabotaged international criminal justice for good?", whereas our own question would be: "What the hell are they trying to achieve, and where are they finding all the money for this preposterous circus? As for the former Serbian leader can we, his health always permitting, look forward to another four barmy years in the courtroom, perhaps to be followed by the show trial of Genghis Khan

THE WAY WE LIVE NOW

Some observations on contemporary society
by JUNIUS

(1162-1227) based on newly-discovered archaeological evidence?

Music notes

"A more inflated display of extravagance and noise has rarely been submitted to an audience; and it was a pity to hear so magnificent an orchestra engaged in almost fruitless attempts at accomplishing things which, even if really practicable, would lead to nothing." (*The Times*, May 1855).

This tirade was directed at the overture to Richard Wagner's *Tannhäuser* during a London season when the composer was conducting concerts staged by the Philharmonic Society. Genuine musical criticism, or the early days of a 150-year-old politico-religious vendetta?

Elsewhere, it is worth remembering that the founding principle of the English National Opera was the performance of opera in English. But that was in 1931. Today, one writer is constrained to observe: "So garbled are the sounds which now emanate from singers' mouths that audiences are finding it difficult to make head or tail of their words. The sounds are so often unintelligible that the artists may as well be singing in Swedish."

Knowing who your friends are

'How did the far left manage to slip into bed with the Jew-hating Right?' This was the title of a recent article by David Aaronovitch in *The Times*. The gist of this convoluted piece of prose seemed to be that the writer would like to love everyone, provided that everyone always said the right things. For example, the author and activist Israel Shamir, identified by Aaronovitch as a Swedish fascist, is quoted as saying: "For as long as Richard Perle sits in the Pentagon, Elie Wiesel brandishes

his Nobel Prize, Mort Zuckerman owns the *USA Today*, Gusinsky bosses over Russian TV, Soros commands multi-billions of funds and Dershowitz teaches at Harvard, we need the voices of (David) Duke, (Justin) Raimondo, (Pat) Buchanan, (Horst) Mahler, (Nick) Griffin and of other anti-bourgeois nationalists." Clearly Mr Shamir says the wrong things, as does Paul Eisen, the Jewish UK director of the international pro-Palestinian organisation Deir Yassin Remembered, who has described Ernst Zundel as "a gentle, good humoured man who understands people and history." Worse still, Eisen has recently written: "No one is able to show us, at Auschwitz or anywhere else, even one of these chemical slaughterhouses. Neither a trace nor a hint of their existence is to be found."

The unlikely conduit for the expression of these heretical opinions is the SWP, which in David's college days used a local minibus to track down, and then beat up, members of 'far-right' groups, but which has now, "... completely and disgracefully lost its political and moral compass." This latter was, we suppose, benevolently oriented to protecting the likes of young Aaronovitch from attacks by marauding bands of 'neo-Nazis' whilst they were on their way home from school!

Medical matters

"Is this the future we really want? Different drugs for different races?" This heading to an article by Mr. Kenan Malik instantly recalled to mind a series of novels by Balzac collectively known as *La Comédie Humaine*. The Frenchman would surely have had a field day in our 21st century.

Firstly, we should note the absurdity of the title, when it asks us non-scientific folk to consider whether this is the future we really want. Facts, it

would appear, are singularly unimportant, and nothing must be allowed to interfere with the lunatic concept that we are all the same. And yet, in the body of the article we read that, to paraphrase George Orwell, some patients are more curable than others. The drug *BiDiL*, while generally ineffective across the board, cut the black American death rate from heart failure by 43 per cent, while a psychiatrist proscribes different doses of Prozac to black and white patients because the two groups seem to metabolise anti-depressants at different rates. Not unnaturally, the anti-racist mob are keen to ban race-based research for fear of its social consequences: consequences, we suppose, like the discovery that there are important biological, physiological, psychological (etc., etc.) differences between different races. What a disaster!

An amusing battle

It works rather like this. Every couple of days you come up with a variation of a deafening din, or a hideous wailing noise, and convince our young folk that they must buy it. The bits of plastic onto which this worthless trash is transferred earn the fraudsters a fortune and, as we say, the supply of the junk is never-ending. The whole is colloquially known as the 'music industry'. And then some bright sparks enter the fray with computer software which allows these kids to pirate the rubbish, between themselves, for next to nothing. The so-called 'industry' is furious. Young Emily's mum gets a bill for £4,000 through her letter box because daughter has downloaded 1,400 'songs' while she should have been doing her homework. Next, the 'music' moguls obtain a US Supreme Court ruling to the effect that the purveyors of the file-sharing programs can be held liable for copyright infringement. Looks as though the old pals act is still going strong! So, are we downhearted? Not really. Even if the offenders are successfully prosecuted, it seems that new and more carefully marketed swapping technologies will quickly emerge. When we see one set of parasites feeding off another lot, we can only sit back and enjoy the entertainment.

SPEARHEAD BOOKS

PO Box 247 I, Hove,
East Sussex BN3 4DT

Abolition of Britain, The (Peter Hitchens) £9.95.
The cultural revolution from Lady Chatterley to Tony Blair.

Adrien Arcand (Author unknown) £5.00. A report of the Kingston Convention and the Massey Hall Rally held in Canada in 1938 by the National Unity Party, led by Adrien Arcand.

Advance to Barbarism (F.J.P. Veale) £13.95.
Shows how warfare has degenerated to some of the worst levels in known history.

A.K. Chesterton Memorial Archive, The. £14.45. Three of AKC's booklets produced during the 1950s which throw much light on Britain's situation today. A.K. Chesterton's clear exposition of the malignant malaise affecting Britain, and the solutions required to correct the situation, can be regarded as being the seminal and guiding influence in the National Front, and later the BNP.

Alberta Experiment, The (C.H. Douglas) £10.00.
The founder of the Social Credit Movement explains what happened when SC policies were adopted in the Canadian province.

Alfred's Metres of Boethius (ed. Bill Griffiths) £14.95. Clarity of text and informative notes give this study a most approachable presentation of Old English verse. King Alfred rebuilt the Latin verses from Boethius's *On The Consolation of Philosophy* into a new alliterative poetry.

Alien (The General League of Anti-Communist Associations, 1933) £7.00. The true story of the alien domination of Weimar Germany, 1919-1932. Introduction by Dr. E.R. Fields.

Alien Menace, The (Lt. Col. A.H. Lane) £5.00.
Early warnings against immigration. First published 1928.

Alternative, The (Hilaire Belloc) £1.50. An article originally written during Belloc's parliamentary days for *St. George's Review*, and since revised.

Ancient Eugenics (Allen G. Roper, BA.) £3.50.
How our forefathers maintained the quality of the race.

... And the Truth Shall Set You Free (David Icke) £15.95. Although ridiculed and misquoted by the media, David Icke says much with which nationalists will agree.

Anglo-American Establishment, The (Carroll Quigley) £12.95. A factual appraisal of the realities of power politics and a study of groups that work behind the scenes to influence world events.

Anglo-Italian Symposium 1938. £7.00. Presentations by Ezra Pound, The Rev. Nye, Father J.C. Copleston, Lt. Col. Cyril Rocke, Rear Admiral W.E.R. Martin and others at the Institute of Cultural Affairs in Pavia.

Anglo-Saxon Riddles (John Porter) £4.95.
Ingenious characters who speak their names in riddles. A sparkling translation retaining all the original vigour and subtlety.

Animal Welfare in Germany (Kerry Bolton) £3.90. An impartial look at some pioneer legis-

The prices given here include postal charges for dispatch within the British Isles. For postage overseas please enquire before ordering.

Payments should be made to 'Spearhead Books' and sent to the above address.

Spearhead Books handles works by numerous and varied independent authors. It should not need stating that the publisher of Spearhead may not necessarily endorse all the contents of these works.

lation which was quickly repealed by the Allies after World War II.

Anti-Semitism and the Babylonian Connection (Des Griffin) £9.75. An investigation into this fascinating and yet vexing question.

Anton Pavelic and the Ustashi (Rev. James K. Warner) £2.75. A brief history of the Croatian nationalist movement.

In this and coming issues we are printing our entire booklist from A to Z.

Arriba Espana (Major F. Yeats Brown) £7.00. An eye-witness and professional view of the situation in Spain during the Civil War.

Aryan Portraits (Wolfgang Willrich) £5.50. Forty portrait studies of Aryan racial types by this famous wartime artist.

Aspects of Anglo-Saxon Magic (Bill Griffiths) £14.95. A neat division between mainstream and occult, rational and superstitious, Christian and Pagan, is not always easy to discern. The Church outlawed practices considered evil, like divination, folk healing and spells, while at the same time adopting similar rituals itself.

Assassination: The Tragedy of South Africa (Jaap A. Marais) £6.00. This book charts the events which led up to and followed the political murder of Dr. Verwoerd and the relentless reversion to savagery, poverty and horror which all thinking people knew would follow. The author does, however, give a glimpse of hope for the future.

Atrocities: The Moral Question (Sir Oswald Mosley) £4.50. How Europe is poisoned by hypocrisy and one-sided allegations.

Banking Swindle, The (Kerry Bolton) £4.50.
Short biographies of those who have opposed the charging of interest on money created by mere 'book entry' without regard to real wealth or productive capacity.

Barnes Review, The (sample copy) £5.50. A well produced US revisionist history magazine covering a range of topics from ancient to modern.

Battle of Maldon, The (Bill Griffiths) £4.95.
Describes the famous battle between the men of Essex and the Vikings fought in AD 991. The book includes source text, edited text, paralleled literal translation, verse and notes on pronunciation.

Behind Communism (Frank Britton) £5.50.
A treatise on the disproportionate role of Jews within the communist movement.



Behind Communism in Africa (Ivor Benson) £3.30. A look at the forces that were against white South Africa.

Behind the Lodge Door (Paul A. Fisher) £16.75. About the influence of Masonic secret societies on church and state.

Bell Curve, The (Richard J. Herrnstein and Charles Murray) £19.95. Breaks new ground and old taboos! Describes the deliberate creation of a new underclass, with racial connotations.

Beowulf (John Porter) £8.95. The verse in which the story unfolds is the finest writing in old English text that exists. A literal word-by-word translation is printed opposite the edited text.

Best Democracy Money Can Buy, The (Greg Palast) £9.95. An investigative reporter exposes the truth about globalisation, corporate confidence tricks and high-finance fraudsters.

Beyond Tears (Catherine Buckle) £14.95. The tragic story of the post-colonial history of Rhodesia with the country's reversion to barbarism, famine and horror.

Bible and Race, The (Capt. Kenneth McKilliam) £2.10. A booklet to enable clerics and others to understand the Bible and its racial message.

Biology of the Race Problem (Prof. Wesley C. George) £3.30. A comprehensive introduction to racial differences with indisputable scientific data.

Bitter Harvest (Ian Smith) £20.00. About the great betrayal and the dreadful aftermath of 'independence' in Rhodesia by Africa's most controversial leader.

Black History: A Guide for Teachers (Various authors) £3.30. A collection of short essays dealing with black heritage.

Blackshirts on Sea (J.A. Booker) £19.50. A pictorial record of the British Union pre-war summer camps, with comprehensive text.

Blind Watchmaker (Richard Dawkins) £10.00. Described in *The Good Book Guide* by Dr. John Gribbin as possibly the most important work on evolution since that by Charles Darwin.

Blowing the Whistle (Paul van Buitenen) £12.99
One man's fight against fraud within the European Commission.


BNP 1960 £1.75. A commemorative booklet issued to mark the anniversary of the original BNP's first year of action.

Brazil: A Study in Racial Integration and National Weakness (Seth Adamson) £2.75.
How a nation with every natural resource failed to develop and remained in the Third World.

Break His Bones (Bradley R. Smith) £12.99.
Reveals the systematic attempts at the suppression of historical revisionism in the USA.

British Peace and How to Get it, The (Sir Oswald Mosley) £4.00. A proposal for a negotiated peace in 1940 with Empire intact and people safe.

British Press, The ('Boreas') £2.75. Although



this was published in 1939, readers will spot an uncanny similarity with the situation in Britain today.

By Way of Deception (Victor Ostrovsky) £11.00. A former Mossad (Israeli intelligence Service) agent defects and tells his amazing story.

Camp of the Saints, The (Jean Raspail) £12.00. A work of fiction that has almost come true: the overwhelming and destruction of Europe by immigrants from the Third World.

Can Liberals be Educated? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.30. No! The writer explains why.

Captain McKilliam Memorial Archive (Capt. Kenneth McKilliam) £10.00. Four booklets of particular interest to Christians and students of conspiracies.

Captive State (George Monbiot) £15.95. The corporate take over of Britain today is revealed.

Case for David Irving, The (Nigel Jackson) £13.75. An exposure of the selective censorship of history and freedom of speech which proceeds at our peril.

Church and Usury, The (Rev. Patrick Cleary) £13.75.

Although not much mentioned by Christians today, the sin of usury is still at the root of many of the world's problems.

Churchill: The End of Glory (John Charmley) £33.55. A political biography showing the actual results of Sir Winston's premiership. Hardback.

Churchill's War, Vol. I (David Irving) £25.00. The first book in a two-part set. This book changes forever the way World War II is viewed.

Churchill's War, Vol. II (David Irving) £25.00. The history of the middle and later years of World War II.

Codreanu and the Iron Guard (Keith Thompson) £3.90. The inspiring and tragic story of Rumania's nationalist movement.

Come on the Green Shirts (John Hargrave) £2.75. A reprint of a fascinating booklet which first circulated in the 1930s. The Green Shirts represented the Social Credit Party, which made financial proposals to end the wicked spectre of poverty amidst plenty.

Concentration Camp Stutthof (Jurgen Graf & Carlo Mattogno) £10.00. Wonderful news for the Jewish community! This facility, that was once widely believed to have been a German death camp, is proved by this comprehensive study to have been no more than a detention centre.

Confessions of Kurt Gerstein (Henri Roques) £8.95. Many claims regarding the 'Holocaust' are based on the evidence of this man. This book takes the evidence to pieces and exposes the unreliability of everything he said.

Conquest Through Immigration (George W. Robnett) £13.00. How Zionism turned Palestine into a Jewish state.

Corrupted Art and Jazz Music (John Rozmital and Henry Ford Snr.) £3.30. A concise explanation of how the distortion of European culture came about by fraud and financial control of key sectors of the art world.

Cost of a Reputation (Ian Mitchell) £17.95. About the libel case of Aldington versus Tolstoy and Operation Keelhaul.

Creed of Iron (Ron McVan) £9.50. The 14-Word Press attempts to bring Wotanist art, history, poetry, wisdom and inspiration to a wider audience.

Crucifying the Saviour of France (Harry Elmer Barnes) £3.90. The trial and imprisonment of Marshal Pétain and the circumstances that led to his fate.

Czechoslovakia: the Naked Truth About the World War Plot (G. Lane-Fox Pitt-Rivers) £3.90. This little booklet really shows the pre-war situation accurately, and gives a fresh look at the policy of so-called 'appeasement'.

Dark Age Naval Power (John Haywood) £14.95. A reassessment of Frankish and Anglo-Saxon seafaring activity.

Dartmoor Massacre, The (Vivian Bird) £6.00. In 1812 American prisoners were held in Dartmoor prison. This is an account of their fate.

Deadlier than the H-Bomb (Wing Cdr. Leonard Young) £8.50. An exposure of sovietisation by stealth.

Death of a City (Michael McLaughlin) £3.50. The tragic story of the destruction of Hamburg by wartime bombing and the resulting firestorm.

Death of James Forrestal, The (Author unknown) £2.75. The demise of this man, who was the Secretary of Defence in the Truman Administration, has always been regarded as suspicious. He fell (some say was pushed) from a 16-storey building. This comprehensive booklet goes into considerable detail regarding the man and his career, with useful sources from the newspaper *Common Sense* 1959 and *Liberty Bell* 1984.

Death of King Arthur (Trans. by James Cable) £6.95. Set in the twilight of the Arthurian world. The knights meet at the Round Table after their quest for the Grail.

Deceived, Damned and Defiant (David Lane) £14.25. Sentenced to 190 years incarceration, Mr. Lane is one of the best-known white political prisoners in the world today. His revolutionary writings are presented with many beautiful illustrations. Undaunted by his plight and unshakeable in his convictions, David Lane has emerged as an insurgent icon of a turbulent age.

Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire (Edward Gibbon) £7.00. The classic history treatise edited and abridged by Dero A. Saunders.

Deculturalisation of the English People (John Lovejoy) £5.95. The sad story of the process by which the English people are in essence being destroyed, and a remedy to reverse the situation.

Demjanjuk Affair, The (Yoram Sheftel) £23.00. The rise and fall of a show trial.

Diamond in the Dust (by political colleagues) £10.00. 100pp plus 4pp colour. An appreciation of Ian Stuart, his life and work.

Did Six Million Really Die? (Richard Harwood) £5.00. Controversial and yet good news for all relatives and descendants of alleged victims of the 'Holocaust'.

Dilemma of Inter-racial Relations, The (Prof. R. Gayre of Gayre) £4.50. An analysis of the problems of forcing different races together and the results of the attempts at compulsion.

Dispossessed Majority, The (Wilmot Robertson) £21.30. This book examines the problem of the loss of power by the numerically superior white population in America. The racial aspect of this is fully and honestly dealt with.

Dissecting the Holocaust (Germar Rudolf) £20.00. In 22 contributions, each of 30 pages, 17 authors dissect the generally accepted paradigm of the 'Holocaust'. One by one, the lies, forgeries and deceptions by politicians, historians and scientists are exposed and disposed of for ever.

Distortion (Lt. Col. 'Jack' Mohr, US Army) £3.90. An explanation of Christian doctrine and its relation to the war against Satanism.

Do we Need Mass Immigration? (Anthony Browne) £6.00. Many reasons why immigration by the masses of the Third World is bad for Britain.

Economics for Helen (Hilaire Belloc) £5.75. A complete analysis of the materialist economic creeds of the modern world, with these discussed and criticised.

Empire of the City (E.C. Knuth) £11.25. An examination of the role of the international élite, based in the City of London, in advancing globalisation.

En Route to Global Occupation (Gary H. Kah) £12.75. A high-ranking government liaison officer exposes the secret agenda for world unification.

Enemies of the Queen (Kitty Little Ph.D.) £3.90. Written from the author's personal knowledge and experiences of the enemy within.

Enemy of Europe (Francis Parker Yockey & Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £10.75. Given here is the view of the 'European Situation' by the man who was hailed by many people as America's greatest post-war philosopher. Comment and analyses by Prof. Revilo P. Oliver, who has himself written many incisive works on current racial and social problems.

English Heroic Legends (Kathleen Herbert) £9.95. The authoress's encyclopaedic knowledge of the period is reflected in the wealth of detail she brings to these tales of adventure, passion, bloodshed and magic.


English Warrior, The (Stephen Pollington) £16.75. An attempt to get beneath the surface of Anglo-Saxon warriorhood and to investigate the rites, social attitudes, mentality and mythology of the warfare of its times.

Evil Good Men Do, The (Jeffrey Hamm) £10.00. About decisions by governments that have led to the ruin of Britain.

Evita: The New Feminism (Evita Peron) £3.90. A selection of essays by this revered personality.

Exactitude (Robert H. Countess, Dr. C. Lindtner and Germar Rudolf) £10.00. Eight of the world's leading revisionist historians have here contributed essays on their research and discoveries.

Exposing the Crime of Crimes (Gerald L. K. Smith) £3.30. The writer was well known as one of the best orators in the USA. He was editor of the *Cross and the Flag* magazine and was once the right-hand man of the famous Huey Long. He led the Christian Nationalist Crusade, and in this work he gives his testimony to the United States Senate as to why the Communist Party should be banned in America.



Brighton

NATIONALISTS DEFY REDS TO HOLD MEETING

9th July saw the first nationalist meeting in Brighton for some considerable time. This was staged by the recently formed Nationalist Alliance. Local left-wing elements put themselves to considerable

trouble to locate the meeting to disrupt it but were wrong-footed by the organiser Sid Williamson, who changed the venue at the last moment.

Spearhead editor John Tyndall was a

guest speaker at the meeting. He is shown here seated on the right. Others from left to right are: Tess Culnane, NA Chairman John Wood and Sid Williamson (speaking). About 25 were present.



Recent election results

GORESBROOK WARD (Dagenham) 23rd

June: This election was called due to the resignation from the seat of the sitting BNP councillor Dan Kelley, who last September obtained the party's highest ever result when he polled 52 per cent of the vote in the ward. This time round, the half-Turk Lawrence Rustem, contesting the seat for the BNP, achieved a vastly reduced vote of 32.9 per cent and with 791 votes was well beaten by Labour, with 1,227.

BECONTREE WARD (Barking) 14th July:

John Luisis, standing for the BNP, polled 378 votes, coming second to Labour. This amounted to 19.3 per cent of the poll.

For new insights into the nationalist faith, read...

HERITAGE AND DESTINY

20 pages of quality articles of British and world interest.

Published quarterly to bi-monthly

£2.00 per copy (US or Canada \$3.00). Subscription for six issues: £18.00 (US or Canada \$24.00)

Obtainable from PO Box 331, Blackburn, Lancs BB1 2WU
(US address: PO Box 812, Ashburn, VA 20146-0812, U.S.A.)

How to obtain *Spearhead*

Make sure of your regular copy of *Spearhead* by becoming a subscriber. Please fill in the form here and send it to us, together with payment, as directed. Please note also the rates for bulk-buyers.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF.....

PLUS DONATION (IF ANY) OF.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles £24.00 ☐; Overseas surface mail £27.50 ☐; Air mail Europe £30.00 ☐; Air mail elsewhere £37.00 ☐.

PLEASE NOTE: These rates apply if your remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If the remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £5.50 must be made in the case of cheques and money orders and £3.00 in the case of banknotes, these paying for our bank's commission and charges.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies £1.10 each; 20-49 copies £1.00 each; 50-99 copies 83p each; 100-199 copies 69p each; 200-499 copies 60p each; 500 copies or over 55p each. For advice on postal rates for these quantities please contact our office.


All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT. Please do not include payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

The Eleventh Hour

The Eleventh Hour has sometimes been described as the 'Bible' of British Nationalism. First published in 1988, it was updated in the 1998 edition to take in the many developments occurring on the political scene in the intervening years. Written by the founder of the British National Party, John Tyndall, the book gives comprehensive coverage of all the major current issues affecting Britain. It delves into the ideologies of liberalism, conservatism and the left, and also examines that of British Nationalism and its background. It contains an extensive analysis of the British economy and political system. It looks at issues connected with freedom and order, the mass media, the environment, race and immigration, Northern Ireland, the Commonwealth, foreign policy and defence. It also gives a detailed outline of the development of the BNP and its predecessor organisations in Britain.

The Eleventh Hour runs to 537 pages. It is partly autobiographical, giving an account of the author's origins, early political awakening and subsequent life's work.

Price: hardback £25.00 plus £4.78 p&p; softback £17.50 plus £3.75 p&p.
Please make out cheques to Albion Press and send orders to PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT.



The book you must read if you want to know what John Tyndall stands for

John Tyndall's funeral

THE SERVICE for John Tyndall was held on Friday, the 5th of August, in his home town of Brighton and Hove, East Sussex.

By his family's express choice, the service was very simple, and was dedicated to the private and family side of J.T.'s life. Accordingly, with but one exception, only family members were present at the service.

John's widow, Valerie, appreciated the number of requests that she received from friends, supporters and well-wishers, to be permitted to attend the funeral service; but she asks for understanding that it would not have been possible to accommodate all those wishing to attend, and to have given leave to attend to some friends and supporters, and not to others, could have led to misunderstandings. Similarly, following Valerie's wish that the service be in remembrance of John, the family man, she asked all those planning to purchase wreaths and flowers, instead to donate the monies involved to a local hospice.

J.T.'s widow's wishes have all been respected, and she in her turn wishes to express through the columns of our magazine her appreciation that her family's wish for privacy and solitude has been respected.

Our thoughts and sympathies are with John's wife and family.

COMING MEETINGS

The two meetings advertised here will be dedicated to the memory of John Tyndall: his political work and his achievements

All those interested in attending should please write to:
The Secretary, PO Box 2471, Hove, BN3 4DT.

NORTH-WEST LONDON

Nationalist meeting

Sunday, October 2nd, in the afternoon

Speakers to include: Richard Edmonds


THE MIDLANDS

Sunday, late October, in the afternoon

Speakers to include: Richard Edmonds

Meeting organised by 'Friends of Spearhead'

'The Friends of Spearhead' are pleased to announce the recent birth of Richard and Disa Soderstrom-Fawcus' daughter, Sigrid Edda Runa Svava.





JOHN TYNDALL: A TITAN AMONGST MEN

“Our creed is one of heroism, and the coming epoch calls for the return of the heroic virtues. Not for us the cosy tranquillity of the political soft option; for us only the long march through the cold night – which must precede the glorious dawn. These conditions demand a special quality of steel in those who rally to our banner, and if the forthrightness of the message put forward in this book frightens and puts off the delicate of fibre and of spirit, that is good; for their place is not with us and we should not wish to encumber ourselves with their presence.

Today, from out of the chaos and the ruin wrought by the old politics, new men are rising. These new men of the new age are now working night and day across the land to forge the sinews of the movement to which their lives and mine are dedicated. Above them as they work are the spirits of legions of mighty ancestors whose bones lie at the bottom of the oceans and beneath the soil of five continents where the men and women of our blood have borne the British flag and stamped the mark of British genius. Today we feel the voices of these past generations calling down to us in sacred union, urging us to be worthy of their example and their sacrifice. To them we owe it to fight on, and to dare all, so that a great land and a great race may live again in splendour.”

From *The Eleventh Hour*
by John Tyndall